

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Later References

✓
1932-33

(C.R.D.)

File No. 13-32

Serial Nos. 6.

13-32-6
13-32-6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 13-32-6

81 (E)

Part-B for June 1933.

SUBJECT.

10. 4. Inspection of the District
Office in the

To 226-8. 13-32-6. dated 13.6.1933

To, The Revenue Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Secy. C.R.D.
with the Commission & Revenue Secy

anfarah
14/6/33

A-3227
C.B.Serial
No.

Inspection Notes relating to the
Unit Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

The inspection work was carried on
from 25th to 31st January 1932

(2) A typed copy of the notes as revised
is submitted. (as instructed)

Done

18/2/32 (3) Subd. for perusal & orders of

(4) may be done

MS

copies of the notes may be sent to the
respective officers (Messrs. Heblikar, H. V.
Ramaswami & S. S. Sengar) for remarks,
per. they

2nd member)MS
27/2

(5) 1/4: report replies within 2 weeks

C
27/2

1/4 (2/2)

MS
29/2C
29/2

(6) Draft subd.

Done

3/3/32.

(7) Issue

C
4/3

1/4/3


To Mr. E. B. Heblikar.

" H. V. Ramaswami

" N. Sunderaraja Sengar

No. 4589-91 dated 11.3.1932

Forwards a copy of the notes of
inspection of the S^t office Tumkur and
calls for remarks within two weeks.



Sur. B.C.

Done 27/3/32.

NOTE - Contn. sheet.

Inspection of the Court Office
of the

Deputy Commissioner

[App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

Jun 1932 . 4054

Serial
No.

From The Dy. Commr. Tumkur Dist.

No. D.C. 17.67/31-32 dated 12/13.4.1932

Furnishes his remarks on the notes of inspection re: the appointment of S.D. Sharma Row in the vac of Head Clerk of the Spl. Magistrate Court Tumkur and (2) the disposal of the vacancy of Yottu mutsaddi, Chicknayakanahalli Taluk on Rs 25/-

(3) Subd. for orders.

19/4/32. Then put up immediately the file relating to vacancy No. 1557 of 6.2.1930 i.e. the vacancy in which Mr. Rudraiah was appointed C.R.B. file relating to the special

vacancy No. 1557/29-30 is put up as directed

10/4/32. (10) The facts of this case are as follows:-

On 13.11.1929 the post of the Head Clerk, Spl. Magistrate Court, Tumkur, pay Rs 50, fell vacant owing to the transfer of the incumbent (Mr. T. Hemingway) to the post of Head Clerk, Taluk Laxmikanth. This being a direct, permanent vacancy it was reported to this office on 29.1.1930 for advt. as a long standing special vacancy. It was advertised as vacancy No. 1557 on 6.2.1930. The applications of qualified candidates were forwarded to the Dy. Commr. on 25.2.1930. The D.C. in his memo No. 123/30 (4th C) appointed Mr. S. Subbalakshmi B.A., a B.A. by caste, subject to his passing the Cl. Ex. exam & furnishing a security of Rs 200. This candidate, however, having failed to join duty, the D.C.

Remarks from Memo
1) G.B. Heblikar
2) D.V. Ramaswamy
are awaited.
D.O. reminders are
under review
Dms

Dep no. 1627/29-30

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

in his memo dated 4.6.1930 (D) appointed another of the applicants, Mr. V. Rudrappe, B.A., a Bengali, "as a temporary measure to act as head clerk on Rs 50, Spl. Rev. G. S. Linghi Court, Tumkur, via Mr. V. Shanmugaswami Mudali O.O.D.", subject to the same conditions as before. Mr. Rudrappe joined duty on 12.6.1930.

(ii) Pending these arrangements, existing provisions had been made in the office and the last consequence of a clerk on Rs 25 was kept unfilled till 12.1930, when one C. V. Chandrasekhar Shastri, Brahmuni S.D.C., was appointed to it. He worked till 12.6.1930 when he was thrown out by the appointment of Mr. Rudrappe. The cessation of the existing arrangements;

Mr. Rudrappe worked in the post of head clerk till 28.11.1931 when he was displaced by one Mr. V. Shanm Rao, a permanent Revenue Inspector on Rs 45 (Rs 50⁺¹⁰ new scale, from 1.7.29), who was acting in various ministerial positions, from 14.8.1926 (vide page 2 of P.O.D.). He last acted as Taluk Sherikder, Sri, on Rs 60, from 1.7.30 to 11-11-31. On the termination of this vacancy, in the absence of any other vacancy to which he could legitimately be posted, he should have reverted to his permanent post of Rev. Insp. at Mysur. But the S.C. posted him to Rudrappe's place, transferring the latter, first, as Record of Rights Clerk, Tumkur Sub-Taluk, on Rs 30 and later as

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 193

Serial
No.

Village Panchayat Clerk, D.C. Office, Tumkur,
on Rs 40. (Memo of 6.1.1931). Two months later
he seems to have been again reverted as
Record of Rights Clerk, Tumkur.

(13) Meanwhile, the R.D. in his memo of
13.11.31 ordered the reversion of Mr.
Thummugavele Mudaliar to his permanent
post of Head Clerk, 1st Grade Magister Court,
Tumkur, and Mr. Thamm Rao had to
revert as Res. Insp. Mr. S. Mudaliar,
however, died before joining duty & the
D.C. proposed to confer on Mr. Thamm
Rao in the vacancy on the ground that he
had previously acted in the post, even
before Mr. Mudaliar was made permanent
in it; and that he is well qualified for it.

(14) The action taken by the D.C. is obviously
unjustified. Mr. Thamm Rao cannot by any
means said to have a claim on the post merely
because he has acted off and on at
various times. Mr. Rudraiah was appointed
in Mr. S. Mudaliar's place & as the C.S.
he should not have been disturbed till
Mr. Mudaliar returned, unless he was found
unfit. On the other hand, complaint has not
been urged against him. He has served the
Col. Mr. Rao & furnished all required security.
On the death of Mr. S. Mudaliar, Mr. Rudraiah
(had a right to) be confirmed in the post.

He could be confirmed
NOTE - Contn. Sheet

at the discretion of Mr. Dy
Comr

nee

120571

under Rule 23(ii).

(15) The irregularity may be pointed out to the D.C. and he may be requested to reinstate Mr. Rudrapas and revert Mr. Chann Rao to his permanent place.

(16) Vacancy of Vottumudatti, Chikhanayaka taluk

(Not para 25 of Inspector's note - Page A)

The D.C.'s explanation for this irregularity is also not satisfactory. However, in the circumstances the action taken may be approved & may be requested to avoid such irregularities in future.

Ch. Secy

cc
21/4

(17) Mr Rudrapas' case

Rule 23(ii) allows the appointing authority to confirm the acting incumbent without re-advertising the vacancy when it becomes permanent. It gives no right to the acting man to ~~obtain~~ obtain confirmation as a matter of course. Is this not the interpretation given to the rule?

Vottumudatti

(18) What is the pay of Asst Insp 10 grade?

See

26/4/32

2574/32

21/4/32
21/4/32

CHIEF SECRETARY
21 APR. 1932

Certain irregular appointments
made by the Deputy Commr.
under

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

4054 of 193

[App. F. III-2

Serial
No.

Reference para 17:

x ems 35-28
cl. 1

x L

(17) The file leading to the order
issuing instructions in the
matter of re-appointment
of Temporary Vacancies
up. Kind attention is invited
to paras 10^x and 11 of the note
in the file on the question
according to which the intention
of the order appears to be that
candidates of Backward
Communities appointed under
the rules in temporary vacancies
should be confirmed as a
matter of course in their
appointments, if they ~~eventually~~
eventually become permanent.
But the word "may" in the last but one
sentence of the order seems to leave
the Commission discretionary.

M

Reference para 18:

(20)

The posts of I, II and IIIrd
grade Excise Assistant Inspectors
in Rs 401-, 35 and 30 respectively

Subd. (21) No doubt Rule 20(2) leaves it at the
discretion of the appointing officer to
confirm or not the acting incumbent
if 3/5 he belongs to the Backward Communities.
But the idea is obviously that if such
an incumbent is well qualified for the post & has
worked satisfactorily while acting there.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

4557

File No.

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

he should not be put to hardship by being thrown out when the vacancy becomes permanent. There is no point in readjusting such a vacancy when there is already a well-liked candidate available for it. Moreover, in the present case, the vacancy had not been readjusted, but through a special one it is proposed to confer in it a Brahmin official who, as shown above, cannot be said to have any right to it.


They

(22) Mr Rudrappa may be asked to be re-instated as Ag. He as Clerk of the Court. and the Deputy commr may be told that the ^{the} should not have been disturbed to make room for Mr. Shamarao who had no lien on the post.

(23) The question of Rudrappa's confirmation does not arise, so long as the Economy order is in force. It is for the Dy. commr to decide at the proper time whether he will confirm Mr. Rudrappa or readjust the post.

Secured Member)

recd
5/7/32
C
5/5



(24) I agree

SPK
9/5

1.5
7.2
Original m
of S. P. K. K. K.
with B. P. K. K. K.

recd
10/7/32
C
10/5

These files which had
been linked to D. No
5830 172, the ~~inter~~
D.C. interrogation No
172 re immersion of.

Russians, as heard
plus First May 15
Court June 1945

These may be
returned to the C.R.B.

5830
172 The Supt. CRB office
with supplements

G. W. 172

Two Cases For
Orders

$\begin{array}{l} 2-4054 \\ 2-4052 \end{array} \} \text{cars}$

doors

Notes of Inspection of the Joint
Office of the Deputy Comm
Tumkur District

[App. F III-2

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

4054 of 1932

Serial
No.

(25) Orders on para (16) of the notes supra have not been passed.

(26) Draft is however submitted for approval. (Last para in the draft is subject to approval).

Done

11/5/32.

(27) For approval (order as

11/2/5 - requires on para 16)

C. - by

Ce
19/5

Appd - (The last statement
May 20

(28) Rg

20/1731

Done

Ce
20/5

To the Dy Comm - ~~order~~ Tumkur Dist
No. 6035 dated 29.5.32.

Directs that W. Rudrappa be reinstated in his original appointment which has since become permanent & states that action taken with regard to the disposal of the Vasy of Vokumutaddi is approved.

(-1) Remarks on the Inspection Notes from messrs S. V. Ramaswami and H. E. B. Steblihar will be awaited.

Done

27/5/32

(28) Yes. They should be reminded once
foursight - at least

Ce
1/6

2/6

all work from
Q. 1702
21/5/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

From The Deputy Commr^r Tumkur
No. S1-1767/31 dated the 15th July 1932.
Furnishes his remarks on the observations
paras 19, 20 and 24 of the Notes of
inspection.

From The Deputy Commr^r Tumkur
No. S1-192-31 dated 21st July 1932.

Forwards the statement showing the
disposal of the vacancies in the Excise Department.

(i) The typing section ^{will} be requested
to kindly type the observations in the Notes of
Inspection and the explanatory remarks of
the Unit Officer in juxtaposition as shown
in the form put up.

Done 3/8/32 (32) ^{11/11} _{5/8}

Typing Section
will comply

Done 5/8/32


(ii) The remarks received from the Deputy
Commr^r are put up in juxtaposition with the relevant
portion of the Notes of Inspection and subd with remarks
for orders.

Done 20/10/32 Please trace & put up the file relating
to 7 to 10 lbs of op. 29.30
29/11

The file (Dep M 292/30-31) has been traced and put up.

Done 9/11/32
1/9/11

This is a
worked
file.
D. 13



2/11/32
for 1/11/32
1/11/32

linked to file
2757 (undrawn)
1/11/32
2/11/32

Notes of Inspection of the Sumbar District Office

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 193

[App. F. III-2

Serial
No.

D. O. letter ~~no~~ dated 14.11.1932 from
Mr. Hebligan forwarding his remarks
on the notes of inspection of the Sumbar
District Office

(16) All the remarks received have been
consolidated and subd as instructed

Done
18/11/32

(37) Sl's 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14,
15, 16, 22 & 23 relate to - numerous
irregularities. Perhaps it is
enough if the attention of
the present Dy. Commr is
drawn to them so that such
irregularities may be
avoided in future.

(38) Please see remarks on statement
1/1/12 by
16/1/33

First Member

RS
16/1/33
C
16/1/33

(39) Please put up a draft on these lines

19.1.33

(40)

Draft subd as directed.

(41) b.p. for approval

Ch. Secy

1/1/32

RM
19/1/32
C
20/1/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Notes of Inspection of the Sumbar District Office

File No.
u

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

2) Result with a draft L.S.O. as personally
instructed by the Chief Secretary.

28/2/33.
9/3

1/120/3

Draft further corrected, & the major
irregularities in detail of the H.O. Clerk's V.
in the 1st Grade maps. Cont. Sumbar (N.Y. No. 1557/28-20)
~~already~~ (since finally settled) included in the draft.
Please put up a typed draft, as revised.
N
2/5

(4) A revised typed draft, is
put up for approval.

Gen. Secy) N
1/6

GENERAL SECRETARY
1-JUNE 1933
No.

I Remember

the
2/6 N 2/6

by
4/6/30

for
5/6

15 June

(keeping also a
fair typed copy
for reference)
N
5/6

To The Deputy Commr Sumbar
a Res: Commr in Charge
a Secy C Board
No 7226-8. dated 12th June 1933.

Records the correspondence relating to the
Inspection of the Dist Office by the Secy C Board

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
3 JUNE 1933
No. 10022

RECEIVED
TYPING SECTION
6 JUN 1933
136/30
1/13/33

Observations made in the Notes of
Inspection.

Explanation of the Unit Officer.

Remarks.

(1)

19. vacancy of clerk in the District
Office on Rs.25/- Longstanding.

The following particulars were
found in the Vacancy Register.

- (a) Occurred on 25th April 1931
- (b) Report received in
District Office on 7th May 1931
- (c) Advertised as
Special Vacancy
No.1826 on 25th June 1931
- (d) Reported on 11th Sept. 1931

to the Secretary, Central Recruitment
Board to the effect that the vacancy
ceased on account of the reversion of
the permanent incumbent and that there
was no need to consider the applica-
tions for the same.

In this connection, the following
particulars were noticed in the "A"
statement Register:-

(i) On 22nd February, 1931 a permanent
vacancy of Head Gumasta in the Chikka-
nayakanhalli Taluk office occurred by
the retirement of one K.Venkataramaiya

(ii) In this place one S.Krishna Murthi, .
Acting Head Clerk of the Tumkur Town
Municipality, who was reverted to the
Revenue Department, was posted.

(iii) One T.Narasinga Rao, a permanent
Clerk of the District Office on Rs.25/-
and acting on Rs.30/- from 1st August
1930, in the vacancy on Rs.35/- of H.C.
Melagiri Rao who was transferred to the
Mandya Sub Division Office, Mysore
District, was lent to the Tumkur Town
Municipal Council in place of S.Krishna-
murthi (vide ii).

Against T.Narasinga Rao's acting
vacancy i.e., the longstanding vacancy of
Melagiri Rao, one B.Hutchappa a throwout
Acting Revenue Inspector was appointed on
25th April 1931 on Rs.25/- pending result
of advertisement. This was the vacancy
that was advertised as Vacancy No.1326
referred to above.

40.
1-8-1931
date 5.7.32
limited file

(5)

Vide C.R. 248 of 30.3.31.

dealt with in accordance with the rules. But it was found that A. Madhava Rao (who was working against the vacancy of Melagiri Rao from 1st February 1930 up to 1st August 1930 and against the permanent place of T. Narasinga Rao from 1st August 1930 up to 21st May 1931) was appointed to act against this vacancy and continued. The lien of S.L. Narasimhaiya together with Madhava Rao was shifted to the Accounts Branch of the District Treasury on 1st November 1931 vice T.K. Krishnamurthi transferred to the District Office. This vacancy continues still and Madhava Rao works in this vacancy contrary to rules. This vacancy should be advertised and filled up and the irregular appointment of Madhava Rao should be terminated.

In filling up the Special Vacancy No. 2616 published in the Mysore Gazette dated 26-6-1930, the marginally noted arrangements

- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| ----- | had |
| (1. S.L. Narasimhaiya, clerk on Rs.31/- District Office who has passed the Criminal Higher examination to act as Head Clerk on Rs.40 Special Magistrate's court, Madhugiri, Vice A. Sundara Rao. |) been ordered under |
| (2. Mr. A. Madhava Rao, temporary clerk on Rs.30/- in the District Office since 1-2-30 to act as clerk on Rs.30/- vice No.1. |) the circum- |
| ----- | stances |

D 579/30-31 not traceable.

explained in this office letter No. Sl. 167-30 dated 2nd May 1931. No reply has till now been received from you in the matter.

In view of the fact that the vacancy had to be re-advertised as a Special vacancy under the rules and in pursuance of the observations made in the notes of inspection of the unit office, by you, I request that the Head Clerk's vacancy on Rs.40/- in the Special Magistrate's Court, Madhugiri, may be re-advertised as a

Special vacancy

Kind attention is invited to the orders in the linked file. The vacancy has been re-advertised (as observed in the notes) as Sl No 124 of 32-33 in the Mysore Gazette dated the 4th August 1932.

Regarding the irregular appointment of Mr. A. Madhava Rao and this continuance, action may be taken unless when the reasons are furnished on item No. 7 of the notes of inspection on page No. 4. 12 2/3

No further action in this case stage is necessary.

For Journal.
19/10/32.

(3)

T.Narasinga Rao was reverted from the Municipality on 1st September 1931 and joined duty in the District Office to his permanent place. This permanent place which was vacated by him on 1st August 1930 when he was promoted to act in the place of Melagiri Rao, was filled up by the ^{appointment} applicant of one (S.A.) Narasimhamurthi working in the Gubbi Sub Division Office. This official joined duty in the District office on 1st July 1931. ^{up to} 21st May 1931 A.Madhava Rao was continued on Rs.30/- to work. This appointment is contrary to rules. From 21st May 1931 to 1st July 1931 the place was kept vacant. When T.Narasinga Rao joined his permanent appointment on 1st September 1931, A.S.Narasimha Murthi, who had naturally to revert to his original

P 70
(During the period of his absence from 1st August 1930

(sl 10) (Page 127)
As stated in another connection, c. Ganga Shana Rao who was reported to have been appointed in the advertised Vacancy No 2630 (i.e. Melagiri Rao's Vacancy from 1.8.30, was appointed in a non-advertised vacancy of Accountant District Treasury Trunkur (vide SE 17). In the vacancy of Melagiri Rao, T. Narasinga Rao was promoted who worked till 25.4.31 when his services were lent to the Municipality. At this stage the vacancy was advertised as Vacancy No 1826. But this vacancy was utilised for the re-entrance of the services of one A. S. Narasimhamurthy (Chief Clerk S.D.O) who could not be continued in the D.C.'s office from 16.10.31. ~~and the fact~~ This is irregular.

This will be pointed out to the

Member

Reg
11/11/31

appointment in the Gubbi Sub Division Office was posted on 16th October 1931 to act in the vacancy of Melagiri Rao (which was advertised) and the vacancy was

reported as having ceased due to the reversion of the permanent incumbent.

This arrangement is contrary to the Mysore Service Regulations. A.S. Narasimhamurthi will have to be reverted to the Gubbi Sub Division Office and the vacancy of Melagiri Rao should be filled up by advertisement.

I would state that the vacancy, of H.C. Mailgiri Rao has been filled up in accordance with the recruitment rules. The vacancy has been

notified as Special Vacancy No.

2630 Mysore Gazette dated 26th

June 1930 and one C. Gangadhara Rao,

Maharatta by caste, has been

appointed against this vacancy

File not read up a table.

The reasons furnished in the remarks are not convincing. The vacancy No. 2630 relates to the year 1929-30, and the vacancy in question relates to the year 1930-31. The observations made in the notes are with reference to the facts noticed in the Acquittance Roll.

In this connection, kind attention is invited to the observations made in the notes of inspection on item No. 17 in pages 24 and 25. Subd for orders.

19/10/32

(4)

Dep No. 292/30.31

(20) It was noticed in the Acquittance Roll that one S.L. Narasimhaiya clerk in the grade Rs. 25-1-30-1-40 of the District Office was transferred on 21st May 1931 to the Special Magistrate's Court at Madhugiri. This is a longstanding vacancy ~~Register~~ and should have been entered in the Vacancy Register and

(6)

(24) Vacancy of Taluk Sheristedar,
Chikkanayakanhalli.

It was noticed in the "A" statement .
Register that this was a permanent vacancy
which occurred on 6th May 1931 by the re-
tirement of the incumbent. The following
arrangements were made to fill it up.

M.Hanumantha Rao (Permanent Revenue
Inspector on Rs.55/-) who was acting as
Revenue Head Accountant on Rs.60/- in
grade Rs.50-2-60 was appointed as Taluk
Sheristedar. Seriatim arrangements were
made to fill up the vacancies in grade
Rs.40-2-50 and Rs.50-2-60 and a place on
Rs.40/- (a longstanding one) was made
available for direct recruitment. This
has been advertised as vacancy No.401
in the Gazette dated 3rd September 1931
but has not yet been filled up for want
of administrative sanction.

P.T.9

Under the rules, a vacancy on Rs.50/- at least should have been made available for direct recruitment. Further, in the place of a permanent vacancy that has occurred, a vacancy of a temporary nature (longstanding) has been made available for direct recruitment. This is not in order.

A place on Rs.40/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50 was made available for direct recruitment. While sending up the 'A' statement the circumstances under which the said place on Rs.40/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50 was thrown open for direct recruitment had been fully explained and such a place was accordingly notified in the Gazette by the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board. It was therefore presumed that the arrangements suggested was accepted by the Central Recruitment Board.

*File is separately noted
to the Secy and
is not to be
mistaken*

No further action ~~perhaps~~
appears necessary.

Sms
22/10/32.

Vide remarks against Sl. 27(24),
page 26 of the notes where the
same case as against has dealt
with Ce

DISTRICT TREASURER- Rs 77/- .

Mr. T.R.Narasimhaiya went on leave from 5th June 1929. up to 1st February 1930 i.e., for 7 months and 27 days.

Mr. A.H.Kongovi, acting on Rs 50/- was promoted to fill up this vacancy. The other acting arrangements made in his place were continued during the period.

It is stated that leave was extended piecemeal and action could not therefore be taken to have the vacancy advertised. According to rules, as soon as the vacancy extended beyond three months, action should have been taken to advertise it.

Not
190
Rae
Ru

In November 1929, Mr. C. Bhashyam, Superintendent, Current Section in grade 80-4-100 went on other duty for three months.

The following arrangements were made to fill up the vacancy.

- (1) Mr. K. Narasimhaiengar,
Rev. Hd. Munshi on Rs 57/- - to Rs 87/-
- (2) Mr. M. Hanumantha Rao, Treasury
Head Munshi on Rs 55/60 to Rs 57/-
57-4-77.
- (3) Mr. S. Revanna, Clerk Sub-
Division office on Rs 55/- to Rs 60/-
- (4) N. Nanjundiah, Acting in the
District ~~office~~ Treasury for
Ananthasastri on Rs 40/- against serial
(3) in the Gubbi Sub Division office from 17-1-1930
- (5) T. K. Krishna Murthi, Head Munshi of the
Kunigal Taluk office who was brought on a
temporary transfer arrangement on Rs 35/- on Rs 40/-
- (6) C. Shamanna on Rs 30/- to Rs 35/- vice (5)
- (7) K. Venkata Rao on Rs 25/- to Rs 30/-
- (8) C. Gangadhara Rao Rs 25/-

This vacancy has also been filled up by promotion. The arrangements made in the place of N. Nanjundiah who was acting for Ananthasastri, continued till Ananthasastri joined duty in the Treasury, i.e., up to 22nd Sept. 30.

These serialim arrangements and the appointment of a locally available candidate C. Gangadhara Rao in the last place from 17th Jan 1930 up to 22nd Sept. 1930 without reference to the Central Recruitment Board are not in order.

(10)

Records not received from the Tumkur District Office.

The post of District Treasurer requires a security of Rs.5000 and is a responsible one. Kongovi was permitted by the permanent District Treasurer, to work as District Treasurer on his own security.

The post of Mr. Kongovi could not be advertised as the leave was being extended piecemeal. Discretion vested in appointing officers as per G.O.No. 5771/830 C.R.B. 5-26-1 dated 10th June 1927 (para 5) was used. If the vacancy had been advertised, the acting arrangements would have terminated after one or two months.

It will however be seen that a backward community candidate viz., Manuel acted in this vacancy (Vide No:2 supra)

The Deputy Commissioner may be informed that in such cases action should be taken to register the vacancy as soon as it exceeds three months in duration and ^{that} ~~the~~ necessary further action under the rules to get the same advertised may be taken, it being left to the C.B. to advertise it or not.

Rg,
16/

lra

to para
of the
interview
is.

Records not received in this case also.

The vacancy caused when Mr. Bhasyam was on other duty for three months was filled up by the appointment of a locally available candidate, C. Gangadhar Rao who is a member of the backward community (Maharatta). In the absence of the records it is not possible for me to say anything more.

GMA

This remains of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for. a

Rg
16

Clerk on Rs 30/-

This is a longstanding vacancy due to its incumbent Devasikamani, being on other duty.

One T.S. Krishna Rao, a clerk on Rs 25/- was promoted to the vacancy. His service register showed that Krishna first entered service on 1st October 1924 on a pay of Rs 25 he was not a Central Recruitment Board candidate, but is said to have been exempted from the rules for being appointed in the Madhugiri Sub Division. The relevant records were not produced in support, but the Exemption Register maintained in the Central Recruitment office does not bear out the statement. Krishna Rao was confirmed on 1st July 1926 in his first appointment and promoted to Rs 30/- on 4th Aug 28 in the above vacancy. It is ascertained that though his lien was transferred to the Sub Division office temporarily, he was working in the District office from 1924.

Clerk on Rs 25/-

This vacancy was caused by the incumbent Syed Ahmed going on other duty. The following arrangements were made to fill it up:-

- (1) M. Basavaiya, posted in the vacancy
Village Panchayat Clerk on Rs 25/-

All along he was on other duty in the Registration Department. on Rs 30/-

- (2) Honnagangappa appointed on Rs 25/- against (1) in the above vacancy.

Honnagangappa, a locally available candidate, was appointed in the vacancy on 28th Jan 1929 and continued up to 13-9-1929, i.e., nearly for 8 months. He filled

6. Head Accountant, District Treasury, on Rs. 60/-

This place is shown in the Acquittance Roll as vacant on the ground that the incumbent shown against it has not passed the Accounts Examination prescribed by the Comptroller for such appointments.

C.N. Gopalaswami Naik, the incumbent being on other duty as Amildar, one Hanumanta Rao, cheikdar on Rs 45/- has appointed in the vacancy on Rs 57/-.. It is ascertained that he has passed the F.A. Examination. This appointment is irregular for the following reasons:--

(1) Promotions have been made from class to class of appointments.

(2) Appointments in the ministerial line have been filled up by the transfer of hands from the executive, which should be avoided as far as possible.

11

This appointment relates to the period from 1924 to 2 1928 (August) before I took charge of the District.

YMA

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*

ao
-
id
t
in the
is

Basaviah and Honnagangappa both belong to backward communities. The records relating to these two appointments have not been received from the Tumkur District. Honnagangappa was appointed by the late Mr. Balaraj Urs in Basaviah's vacancy. So both these appointments were made by my predecessors. As I have not received the records from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, I am not in a

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*

position to say anything more.

SMA

In this case also the records have not been received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

I do not know whether my predecessors or I made the appointment in this vacancy or how long it continued and whether its duration was more than three months or less.

SMA

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for.

C

been

2/1/14

no further action appears necessary.
Whether they can be used
or not - they should be reported
to C.R.B. when they exceed 3
months in duration.

27
(6)

Appointment of Mr. Madhava

his appointment in the vacancy
all ~~the~~ it was advertised and

as continued until advertise-
ate and his work was ~~not~~
ed. It was a lucky coincidence
ort term vacancies of 3 months
I am not responsible for the
Rao after I left the District

9mm
 it a continuous appointment with a

It is stated the vacancy No. 2630 relates to the vac of Melagiri Row caused by his transfer to the Mysore & the candidate appointed (a Gangadhara Row) in the advertised vacancy was not posted to work in of Melagiri Row. ~~as shown below~~:- The vacancy Melagiri Row & the consequential vacancy were filled shown below (Aquitance Roll)

Vacancy of Melugini Row

A. Madhava
Row from
1-2-35 to 1-8-30
(1)

D. Nanasinga
Row clerk 2-25
promoted to Rs30
from 1-8-30 to
25-6-31 when his
services were lent
to the Municipality

Still
his
3rd
from 25-
16-10-31 pending
result of adver-
tisement.

~~in [redacted] was continued~~
16.10.31 to work
f.

The released vacancy of J. Narasimha Rao was filled up as follows

A.S. Nanasinha
Munsky clerk Cribbi
J.D.O. was transferred
on 1.8.30 to this place
But he did not join
duty till 1.7.31. ±
A Madhava Rao
was continued in
his vacancy from
1.8.30 up to 21.5.31.
(2)

Vacant
from 21.5.31 to
1.7.31 as A.S.
Kanasinha murthy
did not join duty
till 1.7.31.

A. S. Parasineh a monthly
from 1.7.31 up to 1.9.31 White
J. Parasineh a Raw perera
nest incubent redone

C. Gangadharan Rao who was appointed in V. No. 2530 had been posted to work in connection with the acting average acct. to make to fill up the vacancy of Accountant & Treasury vide SE (17) Such postings are not in order.

① Mr. S. A. ... was transferred to the Special Magistrate's court Madhugiri on 21.5.1931.
 @ Mr. ... was posted in his vacancy.

(3) A. Madhava Rao was posted in his vacancy and continued up to the date of inspection (25.1.32)

A. Madhava Rao was appointed in the first instance without reference to the Recruitment Board. He has been continued in three different vacancies ~~namely in 1945, 1946 and 1947~~
(1) 6 months (2) 9 months and (3) 8 months up to the date of inspection of the first office ~~as shown above~~. He may ^{perhaps} be continuing still.
So will be seen that the D.O.'s statement are not quite correct.
This is a serious oversight. His attention will be drawn to the actual facts & enquiries made whether Madhava Rao is ~~eligible~~ ^{eligible} or not.

RR 1610

11. DISTRICT CHIEF JUSTICE.

Mr. N. Seshu Sastry in grade 100-10-150 went on leave for three and a half months from 24th March 1930 preparatory to retirement.

No remarks;

Mr. A. Ramachandrarao, Head Clerk of the District Office, Bangalore in grade Rs 87-4-100 was posted in the vacancy as per the order of the Commr's memo dated 25th March 1930 and he was confirmed after the expiry of the leave from 8th Aug. 1931 in Revenue Commissioner's Memo No. 21 Dis 297/30-31 dated 23rd August 1930.

The disposal of the consequential vacancy in the District Office Bangalore should be enquired into.

12. The following are the irregularities noticed from the Acquittance Roll of the Special II Class Magistrate's Court, Tumkur.

(With this pl

No.31(18) which is comm

The arrange

----- 1929-30, 1930-31, 31-32
Strength. up to December 1931.

Head Clerk. Rs 40/-

Deputy Clerk. 30/-

Process Clerk 25/-

Mr. T. Venkatachar, Head Clerk, was on other duty in the District Office. In

his place M. Jaganmohan Chetty was transferred from the Deputy Commissioner's office on 23rd November 1928. He was working as Head Clerk till 1st June 1930 when he was transferred to the office of the District Superintendent of Police. The following arrangements were made to fill up the place on 1st June 1930.

B. Tirunaryaniengar, Deputy Clerk on Rs 30/- was promoted to ~~xxxx~~ the Head Clerk's place on Rs 40/- Dadajan, the third (Process) clerk on Rs 25/- was promoted to Rs 30/- in the place of B. Tirunaryaniengar.

D. Sitaranaiya, a Brahmin (it was ascertained that he has passed Lower Secondary Examination) who was a copyist in the Court was appointed on Rs 25/- in

the Court was appointed on Rs 25/- in the place of Dadajan. These arrangements were continued up to 1st December 1930 i.e., for a period of six months when a candidate (Brahmarayappa) who had applied for the Head Clerk's advertised vacancy was appointed as Head Clerk and the acting arrangements were terminated.

Promotions from class to class of appointments for a period of six months are not in order. The appointment of an unqualified Brahmin candidate against the advertised special vacancy is ~~not~~ also irregular.

On 5th February 1931, the Head Clerk went on leave for one month and 24 days up to 1st April 31. During this period also, the above ad hoc arrangements were made and the last place on Rs 25/- was again given to D. Sitaranaiya, an unqualified ~~xxxx~~ candidate. This is irregular.

place from 1-12-30 to 1-12-31 as a temporary measure until advertised and filled up were confined to the Co the Magistrates in order In the interests of work ments were absolutely

The vacancy advertised and as the T.M. Mahadevaiya, did not the Special Magistrate report was called for had to be readvertised 31(18). Immediately to S. Brahmarayappa was an arrangements. In these arrangements had to be class to class order the rules were gone to C.R.B. Manual).

D. Seetharamiah was the Magistrate and it his appointment that along. As regards the arrangements, from 5-1 that the arrangements previous arrangements on 1-12-30 and the ne and continued only for same reasons.

am not concerned.

mm

This will be looked into at the time of the inspection of the Deputy Commissioner's Office at Bangalore.

*R3
16/7*

see remarks for serial
ted with this case).
made for the Head Clerk's
were ordered purely as
it was advertised, re-
and the acting arrangements
t itself at the instance of
to avoid dislocation of work
of the Court these arrange-

ecessary and inevitable.
~~THE HEAD CLERK~~ was immediately
candidate appointed, viz.

to join duty, as reported by
in September 1930 (after a
from the District Office) it
(vide also remarks for Sl.No.
e applications were received
pointed terminating the acting
circumstances, the acting
continued and promotions from
only till the formalities of
rough. (Vide Rule 21 of the

as appointed at the instance of
was not expected at the time of
e vacancy would continue so
continuance of the actin
31 to 1-4-31 it may be stated
were not in continuation of the
as the old arrangements ceased
arrangements began on 5-2-31
one month and 27 days for the

mm

This vacancy of Head clerk which occurred in June 1930 was not advertised but a candidate that applied for the same was appointed. (Vide next page). This vacancy was advertised in September 1930 (and has been treated as a readvertisement of No. 1580). The delay could have been avoided if prompt action was taken to report that the selected candidate did not join duty by the Spl Magistrate (as noted in the next page). This may be avoided in future.

*R3
16/*

Even in making temporary appointments, unqualified candidates should not be appointed. The candidates should at least possess the minimum qualification prescribed for the appointment.

*R3
16/13.*

31st (18) Head Clerk, Special II Class Magistrate's Court,
Rs 40/-

From the "A" statement Register, it appears that this vacancy occurred on 4th August 1930, that it was reported for advertisement on 13-10-1930 advertised as Vacancy No. 657 in the Gazette dated 23rd October 1930 and that one Brahmarayappa was appointed on 24-11-1930. It was however observed that it was a readvertisement of vacancy No. 1560 which was advertised in the Gazette dated 6th February 1930 and in regard to which the appointment of one T.M. Mahadevaiya was reported on 4-9-1930. But as the candidate did not join duty, the vacancy was readvertised as Vacancy No. 657 on 23-10-30. According to rules, one of the remaining applicants for vacancy No. 1560 who was willing to accept the appointment should have been appointed when T.M. Mahadevaiya did not join duty. If none of them wanted the post the vacancy should have been readvertised after three months but it has been reported for advertisement on the very day the candidate was appointed, on the ground that he did not join duty. This is irregular.

While readvertising the vacancy, it has been again entered in the Vacancy Register. This is also irregular.

From the vacancy register and "A" statement registers it was found that the original vacancy (advertised as Vacancy No. 1560 on Rs. 40/-) was a permanent one of a clerk on Rs. 45/- due to the retirement of one Chima Rao from 1st January 1930. It was filled up by the promotion of one A. Subrahmanya Iyer, Excise Head Clerk on Rs. 40/- in the Madhugiri Sub Division Office and the consequential vacancy advertised as vacancy No. 1560 on 6th Feb. 30. Meanwhile permission was obtained to transfer to this latter post, one Sundararaju, an acting clerk in the District Police Office and accordingly it has been entered in the vacancy register that T.M. Mahadevaiya, the Central Recruitment Board candidate was appointed as acting Deputy Clerk in the District Police Office. Just below this entry, it has been noted that the same candidate was appointed as acting Head Clerk in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court at Tumkur.

When questioned about this double entry, it was explained that the Head Clerk in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court was transferred as acting Deputy Clerk in the District Police Office and the candidate (T.M. Mahadevaiya) who was appointed in the latter vacancy was posted subsequently to the vacancy of the Special Magistrate's Court. It therefore appears probable that Mahadevaiya finding that he was not to get the permanent post advertised, but a temporary one which might cease at any moment, declined to take it.

It will thus be seen that in the course of these manipulations of appointments, the vacancy which occurred on 1st January 1930 could not be filled up by the appointment of a C.R.B. candidate till 24th November 1930.

(iv) The vacancy which occurred on 1st

January 1930 could not be filled up by the Central Recruitment Board candidate

till 24-11-1930 in the above circumstances of considerable

and ~~manipulation~~ correspondence was inevitable. No avoidable delay has

been caused in the several stages of action taken. There was therefore no

"manipulations" of appointments as

observed by the Secretary and that

sanction of Government was obtained in regard to the filling

The promotion to Rs 45/- in this per Rule 13 (d) of appointment in the advertised.

(ii) The permanent Clerk, Madhugiri as it was considered (a Jain) acting D Police Office had having been confirmed to revert consequently manya Iyer from the sanction of Government Sundararaju to his Clerk on Rs 40/- in before (Vide Chief

dated 12th April 1930 applicants for the post of Deputy Clerk (Held by Sundararaju) inevitable and it by the Secretary accept the latter was not going to one contingent on/Ram passing the Criminal

(iii) As regards the applicants for the who declined it, not readvertised

T.M. Mahadevaiya

he did not join duty after a report was submitted (---who submitted District Office-- duty. I therefore advertisement of the matters for another No. 12)

But he was
not to be
in Madhugiri!

of K. Subrahmanya Iyer from Rs 40
vacancy is quite regular as
the C.R.B. Manual as the lowest
class is Rs 40/- which has been

vacancy of Rs 40/- (Excise Head
Sub Division) was advertised but
that one K. Sundararaju (xxxxx)
Deputy Clerk of the District
a legitimate claim for that post
in it once and having had
on the reversion of Subrah-
Police Head Munshi's place,
ment was obtained to "restore"
own original post of Excise Hd
which he had been confirmed --
Secretary's letter No. 5233 CRB
930) and to select one of the
advertised vacancy, for the post
rk, District Police Office,

ju). This course of action was
cannot be presumed as is done
that Mahadevaiya declined to
post because he found that he

the permanent post as it was
Swamiah, Police Head Munshi,
al test

appointment of one of the other
vacancy instead of Mahadevaiya
may state that the vacancy was
the very day the candidate was
appointed on the ground that
y, but it was re-advertised

received from the Special Magis-
it was
ted it after/called for from the
that the candidate did not join
ordered the immediate re-adver-
ancy instead of delaying for

3 months (Vide remarks for Sl.

* *GMA*

f the vacancy in the above manner

~~The original~~ vacancy that was advertised as Vac No
1560/29-30 on 6.2.1930 was a permanent vacancy of
Excise Head Clerk in the Madhuvairi Sub Division.
It would have been formal if K. Sunder. Raju, on
whose behalf sanction was obtained for his appoint-
ment in the vacancy, had been asked to apply for
the vacancy and that vacancy closed after his
appointment. Instead of this, the released
vacancy i.e. Acting Deputy Clerk of the District Police
Office was filled up by the transfer of the Head
Clerk of the Spl 4 magistrates' court and the
candidate that applied for the original
permanent vacancy of Excise Head Clerk,
was appointed in the Acting Head Clerk's vacancy.
The advertised vacancy does not admit of
transfer arrangements under rule 28 of the B.M.
This may be pointed out for future guidance.

RF
16/1

If the candidate appointed did not join duty in
the office, the Officer in charge, should report the same
to the unit office without loss of time, so as to enable the
appointing officer to select the next best candidate.
This may be followed in future.

RF
16/1

332(19) Vacancy of Clerk in the District
longstan

The following particulars were
(a) Occurred on 25th April 1931
(b) Report received in the
(c) Advertised as Special Vacancy
(d) Reported on 11th September

C.R.B. to the effect that the vacancy should be reversion of the permanent incumbent to consider the application.

In this connection, the following is in the "A" statement register:-

(i) On 22nd February 1931, the vacancy was advertised in the Chikmagalur District.

retirement of one K. Venkatarao.

(ii) In this place one S. K. of the Tumkur Town Municipality Department was posted.

(iii) One T. Narasinga Rao, Office on Rs. 25/- and acting on the vacancy on Rs. 35/- of H.C. in the Mandya Sub Division Office, Tumkur Town Municipal Council in 1931.

Against T. Narasinga Rao's standing vacancy of Melagiri was acting Revenue Inspector was appointed on Rs. 25/- pending result of advertisement was advertised as advertised.

T. Narasinga Rao was reverted in September 1931 and joined duty in permanent place. This permanent place was on 1st Aug. 1930 when he was promoted to Melagiri, was filled up by the Murthi working in the Sub Division Office. He was appointed on 1-8-30 up to 21-5-31 on Rs. 30/- to work. This appointment to 1-7-31 the place was kept vacant. His permanent appointment on 1-7-31 who had naturally to revert to Sub Division Office was a vacancy of Melagiri Rao (which reported as having ceased due to the incumbent. This arrangement was in accordance with the regulations. A.C. Narasinga Rao left Sub Division Office and the vacancy should be filled up by advertisement.

33(20) It was noticed in the Acquittance roll that the clerk in the grade Rs. 25-1-30-1- transferred on 21st May 1931 to Melagiri. This is a longstanding vacancy entered in the vacancy register. But it was found that against the vacancy of Melagiri 1-8-1930 and against the permanent 1-8-30 up to 21-5-31 was appointed continued. The lien of S. K. Rao was shifted to the Treasury on 1-11-31 vice Krishnappa. This vacancy continues in this vacancy contrary to rule 15 and filled up and the vacancy should be terminated.

33(21)

In the acquittance roll that S. Venkappa clerk acting in grade 40-25-50 other duty, was transferred to Office as Head Clerk. Against Hutchappa, a thrown out acting working from 25-4-31 up to 16-4-31 (Rao) was allowed to work being a longstanding one, should be dealt with in the vacancy register and dealt with Hutchappa a known out of office in April 1931 for a suitable vacancy.

The permanent place of available during the period of up, should also be dealt with.

18

district office on Rs 25/-
adding.

are found in the Vacancy Register
1931

District Office 7th May 1931
Vacancy No. 1826 on 25th June 1931
or 1931 to the ~~secretary~~ Secretary,
vacancy ceased on account of the
sent and that there was no need
is for the same.

Following particulars were noticed

a permanent vacancy of Head
of Taluk Office occurred by the

of the

Prishnamurthi, acting Head Clerk
who was reverted to the Revenue

permanent clerk of the District
Rs 30/- from 1st August 1930, in
M. G. Rao who was transferred to
Mysore District, was lent to the
place of Prishnamurthi (vide

acting vacancy, i.e., the long-
one S. Hutchappa, a throwout
appointed on 25th April 1931 on S.
ment. This was the vacancy that
vacancy No. 1826 referred to above.
from the Municipality on 1st
in the District Office to his per-
t place which was vacated by him
oted to act in the place of Mela-
appointment of one A. S. Narasimha-
division Office. This official
2-5-31. During the period of his
1, A. Madhava Rao was continued on
is contrary to rules. From 21-5-31
ant). When T. Narasinga Rao joined
September 1931, A. S. Narasimhamurti
is original appointment in the
sted on 16-10-31 to act in the
as advertised) and the vacancy was
the reversion of the permanent
is contrary to the Mysore Service
will have to be reverted to the
vacancy of Mela G. Rao should

ance roll that one A. S. Narasimham
of the District Office was
the special Magistrate's Court at
ng vacancy and should have been
and dealt with in accordance with
at A. Madhava Rao (who was working
Rao from 1st February 1930 up to
nt place of T. Narasinga Rao from
ed to act against this vacancy and
rasimhamurti together with Madhava
e accounts Branch of the District
murti transferred to the District
still and ~~xxxxx~~ Madhava Rao works
s. If the vacancy should be adver-
point out that Madhava Rao

September 1931 it was observed
the District Office who was
place of Mr. T. Venkatachar on
17-10-31 to Sira Sub Taluk
his vacancy of 40-2-50 one
Revenue Inspector (who was
-31 in the Vacancy of Mela-
continued. This vacancy
have been registered in
ith under the rules. The name
ial should have been reported
ing & proposed for absorption in

of Venkatachar which becomes
in transfer to Sira, for being filled
in accordance with rules

No remarks; I had handed ~~over~~ over ~~charge~~
charge of the District Office, that time.

A separate report received has
been worked up & mtd vide Flag. 26
of 1-4

No remarks; I had handed over charge of
the District by that time.

A separate report ~~has been~~ received
a has been worked up and mtd vide Flag. 26
of 1-4

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

No remarks as I handed over charge of the
District on 25-3-1931.

The remarks of the present Deputy
Commissioner may be called for

13. Acquittance Roll of the Special I Class Magistrate's Court, Tumkur.

1929-30, up to 1931-32 (till the end of December 1931)

Strength:-

Head Clerk 1 on Rs 50/-	Mr. Shanmugavelu Mudaliar (Mudaliar)
2nd Clerk 1 Rs 35/-	M. N. Narayana Rao (Brahmin)
3rd Clerk 1 Rs 25/-	M. Venkatesan. (Barber)
4th Clerk 1 Rs 25/-	D. Dasappa, (Brahmin)

M. Venkatesan (3rd Clerk) was on privilege leave for one month from 1st July 1929 to 1st Aug. 1929 when one M. Venkatasubashya, a Brahmin who had undergone J.E. course a copyist of the same court was appointed to act.

On 1st Nov 1929, Shanmugavelu Mudaliar, Head Clerk was transferred to the Shimoga District as II Grade Sheristadar. Seriatim arrangements were made to fill up the vacancy and the last place on Rs 25/- was kept vacant. In December 1929 one Mr. P. Shama Rao, a permanent Revenue Inspector was appointed to act in the Head Clerk's place. But without joining duty, he applied for leave and while he was on leave, he was posted as Sheristadar. He therefore drew no pay in the court and the seriatim arrangements already made were continued. From 1st Feb 1930, one J.V. Chendrasekhara Sastry, a Brahmin who had passed B.S.N.C. was appointed in the last place on Rs 25/- (which had been kept vacant from 1st Nov 1929) and was continued up to 12th June 1930 when the Central Recruitment Board candidate Rudappa took charge as Head Clerk. The seriatim promotion arrangements made from 1st Nov 1929 and the local appointment of J.V. Chendrasekhara Sastry from 1st Nov 1930 ceased on 12th June 1930.

It is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's Memo No.

L.Dis Gl. 3200-29 dated 4-6-30 that one Mr. D. Rudappa a candidate for Special vacancy No. 1557 Mysore Gazette dated 6-2-1930 was appointed to act (as a temporary measure) as Head Clerk on Rs 50/- vice Mr. Shanmugavelu Mudaliar on other duty in the Shimoga District - subject to his passing the Criminal Higher Examination and furnishing a security of Rs 250/- within a month. From 12th June 1930 up to 28th November 1931 he worked as Head Clerk.

Next act from the Notes relating to the vacancy Regr. (vide Serial No. 8, is put up herewith for ready reference).

Occurred on 13-11-1929
Advertised on 6-2-1930 as No. 1557
1st appointment of Ahobalappa made on 13-3-1930
2nd appointment of D. Rudappa " 12-6-1930
(as Ahobalappa did not join duty)

The Deputy Commissioner in his Memo No. L.Dis Gl-411-31 dated 4-11-1931 directed that Mr. P. Shama Rao (referred to above, ~~xxxx~~ who was reverted from his acting appointment of Taluk Sheristadar at Bira, should join duty as acting Head Clerk on Rs 50/- of the Special 1st Class Magistrate's Court at Tumkur vice Mr. V. Shanmugavelu Mudaliar on other duty ~~and~~ and stated that separate orders would issue regarding the posting of Mr. D. Rudappa. Mr. Shama Rao joined duty on 28-11-1931 displacing Mr. D. Rudappa.

Mr. Shama Rao as stated above, holds a permanent place of Revenue Inspector on Rs 50/-. When he reverted from his acting appointment of Taluk Sheristadar at Bira, he should have gone back to his permanent post. There appears to be no valid ground for posting him as Head Clerk in Mr. Shanmugavelu Mudaliar's place which had already been filled up according to rules. If it is contended that Mr. Shama Rao, prior to his going out as Taluk Sheristadar had been appointed to act in Mr. Shanmugavelu Mudaliar's place in December 1929 the obvious reply as stated above, is that this was only a formal appointment and that he did not actually occupy the post. His name is not found in the Acquittance Roll and he has not drawn pay even for a day in that place. Hence he cannot be considered

The ~~xxxxxx~~ at

in the vacancy of M. leave ~~for~~ for one mo is quite regular as the post and the vac duration which did per C.R.B. Rules.

The Head Clerk relieved of his duty afternoon which date the vacancy in the velu Mudaliar was 1-11-30 as stated

The report received from the approved the acting conduct of work until filled up and direct vacancy. (2-1-30) on 27-1-1930 and the Gazette on 6-2-1930

The applications for appointment of Mr. As it was reported D. Mr. Rudappa's appointment though as per rule had to be readvertised meant delay, and he 12-6-1930 on which ceased. It is not made for the place

the work of the Court could be considered in sending up the immediately the Special Ahobalappa did not Rudappa was appointed of Mr. Rudappa's remarks as it was charge of the District

Appointment of K. Venkatasubbiah
Venkatesan, who was on privilege
from 1-7-29 up to 1-6-29xxx
Venkatasubbiah was qualified for
his was of less than 3 months
to require to be filled up as

Mr. Shanmugavenu Mudaliar was
present in the Court on 13-11-29
has been taken as the date of
vacancy Register. Mr. Shanmuga-
therefore transferred on
the Secretary.

the vacancy of Head Clerk was
filled by Special Magistrate on 26-11-29. I
arrangements proposed by him for
the vacancy was advertised and
and the advertisement of the
report was made to the C.R.B.
vacancy was advertised in the
as a longstanding special vacancy
received on 26-2-1930 and the
Gopalappa was ordered on 10-3-30
that he did not join duty Mr.
Appointment was ordered on 26-5-30
3 of the C.R.B. Manual, the vacancy
filled after three months which
is seen to have joined duty on
date the acting arrangements
understood how acting arrangements
of Head Clerk in the interests of
as per C.R.B. rules (Rule 21)
as irregular. No time was lost
vacancy for advertisement and im-
1 Magistrate reported that Mr.
join duty, another candidate Mr.
ed. As regards the termination
services, I need not offer any
on 28-11-1931 when I was not in
fact. *E/m*

The whole question was separately considered
on receiving a report for the present Deputy
~~Commissioner and final orders have~~
~~been passed accordingly~~
in his appointment.

No further action is necessary
8ms
12/11/32

Rg
16/7

(156)

- 97 -

GENERAL.


The Deputy Commissioner's office is a unit office and under rules, the following registers should have been maintained separately therein.

(1) A register for vacancies occurring in the Revenue, Judicial, Excise, Income-tax and Registration branches as well as those of the Sub Division and Taluk offices.

(2) A register for vacancies occurring in the Municipalities and the District Board (if any), under the control of the Deputy Commissioner.

(3) A register for vacancies of sergeants and buffedars in the Police Departments.

These registers have to be maintained in the marginally noted order:-



The District Sheristadar (the Head Ministerial official) and of the office and the Personal Assistant are responsible for the correct maintenance of the Vacancy Register and the correct classification of vacancies.

It will be seen that the net result of the misclassification if there was any, was that there was one Special vacancy more and one General vacancy less.

It is not possible for me to say anything more in the absence of the records connected with the various appointments, many of which have not been received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, except that the classification may have been all right and that the apparent misclassification is due to the Central Recruitment Board not taking into account the vacancies as

Permanent Temporary Permanent Temporary.

instead of by classes.

GMM

(3)

Ministerial.

Permanent Temporary Permanent Temporary.

N 1 1

Necessary instructions were given to the Office at the time of Inspection. It is hoped that the registers ^{have been} ~~were~~ opened and maintained, as required by the rules *C*

RJ
W

According to the above classification, it will be seen that at least five registers should have been maintained for the correct registration of vacancies. It was found however that there was only one and even this was defective in the following respects.

(a) vacancies of all the above mentioned separate sub units have been registered in one block in a continuous series

(b) some of the executive vacancies have been registered under the head "Ministerial".

(c) Permanent and Temporary vacancies have been mixed up.

(d) the classification of vacancies into "direct recruitment" and "Promotion" and the former into "special" and "General" has not been correctly made.

By way of illustration, the wrong classification of the vacancies of the year 1929-30 are shown below.

(Please see next page)

(19)

Both permanent and temporary vacancies have been registered in the vacancy register in a continuous series

vacancies have been registered in a continuous series

Wrong classification	Correct classification	Wrong classification	Correct classification
1. Direct Recruitment Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.	11. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.
2. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.	12. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.
3. Direct Recruitment Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.	13. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.
4. Promotion Genl.	Promotion Genl.	14. Promotion Genl.	Promotion Genl.
5. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.	15. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.
6. Do Spl.	Do Spl.	16. Do Spl.	Do Spl.
7. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.	17. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.
8. Do Spl.	Do Spl.	18. Do Spl.	Do Spl.
9. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.	19. Direct Rec. Spl.	Direct Rec. Spl.
10. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.	20. Promotion Spl.	Promotion Spl.

(e) With regard to vacancies occurring in other and subordinate offices, only the date of report of vacancies has been entered. The date on which the vacancy actually occurred should also have been entered in the proper column.

(f) Columns 11 to 15 of the Register are not correctly filled up. Reference to names of appointments made is not also entered.

(g) When vacancies were re-advertised, reference to the original advertisement providing instructions they have been again registered.

(h) The names of candidates that were subsequently appointed, those that were first appointed having not joined the appointment have not been entered.

(20)

14 (1) Vacancy of I Grade Gumasta in the Piptur Taluk.

This has been registered and advertised as Vacancy No. 293 in the Gazette dated 25th July 1929. From the "A" statement register, however it was found that this vacancy had been once before registered and advertised as vacancy No. 1451 in the Gazette dated 7th Feb 1929. The candidate appointed on the first occasion having joined dut., the vacancy was once again registered for re-advertisement. This is irregular. It should have been re-advertised without re-registration.

15 (2) Vacancy of I Grade Gumasta, Tumkur Taluk.

The duration of this vacancy having been shown as only two months from 20-7-1929 up to 29-9-1929 it should not have been entered in the register.

From the "A" statement register it appeared that this vacancy occurred by the grant of combined leave to one H. Subba Sastry up to 29-9-1929. When the original file relating to the grant of leave was however scrutinised, the following facts came to light:-

Records not received from the Tumkur District.
The appointment was, I think (---~~from~~ I am writing from memory) re-advertised as the man appointed joined, worked for a very few days and went away without even taking his pay.

2/11/29
The present Dy Commr. may be informed that such irregularities may not be allowed to occur.

Records not received.

The vacancy occurred before I assumed of the District and as soon as the vacancy was reported to me I had it advertised.

According to C.R.B. Rules a vacancy which has exceeded three months should be registered though

Sastri applied for 3 days casual leave on 28-2-1929 for 12 days privilege leave 3-10-1929 and for ~~xxx~~ extension of the privilege leave by 16 days on 11-10-1929. Thereafter he applied for continued leave for six months. The Sub Division Officer sanctioned the leave and the acting arrangements on 28-2-1929 and intimated the Amildar that the vacancy would be reported to the Deputy Commissioner. The report was made on 4/12th March 1929 and the Deputy Commissioner called for the connected papers. The papers were submitted by the Sub Division Officer on 28-5-1929 together with an application of H. Sudda Sastri for the grant of leave for another six months. The leave asked for was sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer was informed that a candidate of the backward classes should be appointed in the last vacancy and that the vacancy would be reported to the Central Recruitment Board in the meanwhile, one A. G. Ramaswamiya, a Brahmin official was acting in the post. After this protracted correspondence the vacancy was reported to the Central Recruitment Board office as being available only for 2 months till 29-9-1929. The Deputy Commissioner was therefore requested in letter No. 702/CMB dated 1st Aug 1929 to intimate why this short vacancy should not be filled up by ~~xxx~~ himself. He then noticed that the acting arrangements made were irregular. In the result therefore, this longstanding special vacancy was appropriated by a Brahmin and he, too, a Dafterband (inferior service).

it was for two months its registry is regular.

The Sub Division Officer concerned should be asked to explain (1) the delay in making the ~~xxx~~ report of the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner for more than 5 months. (2) Why he continued a Brahmin official (a Dafterband) though I had directed that a candidate of the backward community should be appointed.

JMM

The vacancy was a Temporary one of the year 1928-29 and it no longer exists.

The irregularities noticed in this case may perhaps be brought to the notice of the present Deputy Commissioner and he may be requested to see that such things are not allowed to occur.

R3
167

15 (2) ~~Vacancy of I Grade Gumasta, Tumkur Taluk~~

16 (3) Vacancy of Village Panchayet Clerk, Chiknayakanhalli.

From the "A" statement register it was found that this vacancy occurred on 20-2-1929 by the grant of combined leave of 10 months to S. Ramachandra Rao. The Sub Division Officer reported the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner on 9-8-1929 as temporary lasting till 19th Jan 1930. Though the Deputy Commissioner's office was cognizant of the fact that the vacancy occurred on 20-2-1929, no entry to that effect was made in the vacancy register. Neither was the Sub Division Officer asked why there was such a delay in reporting the vacancy nor a report made to the C.A.B. office in the matter. The result was that the candidate selected by advertisement had the post only for 3 months and it is not known who was acting in it till then. (The "B" statement of appointment made has not been registered in the "B" statement register).

*The explanation
should have been
called for then alone
by the D.C.*

(91)

I have not received the records connecte with this appointment. The vacancy arose before I took charge of the District. It was not ~~has~~ brought to my notice for a very long time. It is for the Sub Division Officer and the Amildar to explain why. I am not in a position to offer any remarks in the absence of the records.

B.M.A.
*The remarks from the present Deputy
Commissioner may be called for*

17 (4) Vacancy of I Grade Gumasta, Tiptur Taluk.

It was found from the "A" statement register that the vacancy ~~actually~~ actually occurred on 20-1-1929 by the grant of two years' leave to one Dhima Rao. The Sub Division Officer reported the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner only 9th Aug. 1929, i.e. after a delay of 7 months and no explanation seems to have been obtained for the

Records not received.

The vacancy arose long before I took

vacancy has not also been entered in the vacancy register. Though it was known in the Deputy Commissioner's office that the vacancy lasted for two years, it was reported to the Central Recruitment Board office that it was only temporary giving the impression of short duration.

Pavagada

18 (5) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta ~~Vijay~~ Taluk

This was entered in the Vacancy Register as having been reported on 10-10-1929. The actual date of its occurrence has not been entered. From the "A" statement register it was found that this was a re-advertisement of the original vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta in the Koratagere Taluk, No. 1742 advertised in the Gazette dated 11th April 1929. This vacancy occurred by the retirement of the head Gumasta, this being the re-advertisement of the original vacancy (since shifted to Pavagada) should not have been re-registered.

post of temporary clerk on Rs. 35/- for Record of Rights work in the Gubbi Taluk Office, was sanctioned. But a communication to advertise a vacancy on Rs. 25/- (Deputy Munshi) was sent to the District office on 23-11-1929. It was not known from what date the vacancy became actually available.

Official Bhima Rao was given compulsory leave for two years and he was agitating for reinstatement; and it was not probably reported as a longstanding vacancy on account of this reason.

MM
The remarks from the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*

Records not received.

I am not in a position to say that the vacancy that was re-advertised is the same as that of the I Grade Gumasta, Koratagere Taluk.

MM
The remarks from the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*

Records not received.

Rule 13 (d) of the C.R.B. Manual provides for the lowest appointment in the class being thrown open for direct recruitment. In this vacancy (Class III) the lowest appointment in the class was one on Rs 25/ and it was therefore advertised.

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *MM*
C

20*(37) Vacancy of Clerk, District Office, on Rs 55/-

This place has been filled up by the promotion of a Village Panchayat Inspector (M.D. Krishnan), the ~~xxxx~~ latter's place by the transfer of a Revenue Inspector and a post of the III Grade Revenue Inspector has been made available for advertisement. The following irregularities have been committed:-

(b) As against a vacancy in class I of appointments one in class III has been made available for direct recruitment (promotion arrangements have been made in one different classes of appointments). ~~This vacancy~~
~~..... (and when appointed to the post of Revenue Inspector and previous vacancy~~
~~have been continuously treated as promotion vacancies.~~

(a) Ministerial and executive vacancies have been mixed up.

(c) In the vacancy register the name of S. Abdul Hamid has been entered under date 10-2-1930 (the same entry has not been made in the "A" statement register). By a reference however to the office memo No. LDis SI-2508-29 dated 12-3-1930. It was found that one M. Sivadappa was appointed in the vacancy (as Abdul Hamid did not join duty). This has not been entered in the vacancy register.

(e) The vacancy of Revenue Inspector made available for direct recruitment has been registered both under the heads "ministerial" and "executive"

The registration of the vacancy of Revenue Inspector made available for direct recruitment both under the heads "ministerial" and "executive" is a mere clerical error. The records necessary to answer this have not been received. But so far as I remember Krishnan's appointment had already been made before I went to the District. The consequential appointment must have been made at the same time. It is not therefore irregular.

These irregularities may be brought to the notice of the present Deputy Commissioner so as to enable him to avoid their repetition in future.

Ray

21 (8) Vacancy of Head Clerk, Special I Class Magistrate's
Court, Rs 50/- longstanding

Occurred on 13-11-1929
Advertised on 6-2-1930, as vac No. 1557.
Appointment made on 13-3-1930.
Second appointment made on 4-6-1930 /

XXXXXXXXXXXX One Ahotalappa was first
appointed on 13-3-1930, but as he did not join duty
D. Rudrappa was appointed on 14-6-1930 as per
Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. A.Lis 1-Gl. 3200-29
dated 4-6-1930.

In connection with the termination of the
services of this office D. Rudrappa, a separate
reference is pending disposal in the Central Recruitment
Board Office and the case has been fully noted on
in the respective file.

please see remarks for Serial No. 13.

From the dates given it is seen that Mr. Ru-
drappa's services were dispensed with after I left
the District.

MM
This has been closed *C*

Ry
16.11

33

22 (9) Vacancy of Excise III Clerk, District Office.

This is due to the grant of extension of leave for 3 months from 14-1-30 up to 13-4-1930. In the Vacancy Register, however, it is shown as one of less than three months duration. It was advertised on 20th February 1930 as Vacancy No.1707 and one B.P.Lakshmipatnaiya appointed on 6-3-1930. The appointment was made when the vacancy was to last only for a month more (File leading to the grant of leave could not be obtained for scrutiny).

Records not received.

As the vacancy exceeded three months after the grant of this extension for 3 months, it had to be registered and dealt with according to rules. The formalities of advertisement had to be gone through and the appointment of B.P.Lakshmipathaiya on 6-3-1930 had to be made after receipt of applications from the Central Recruitment Board. In the absence of the ~~records~~ records I am not in a position to say anything more.

23 (10) Vacancy of I Grade Gumasta, Tiptur Taluk.

It was found ~~xx~~ from the "A" statement Register that this vacancy became available from January 1930 by the grant of extension of leave for 4 months to one Narasimha Rao. This may also be a case similar to (2) and (9) supra (the file leading to the grant of leave could not be obtained);

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *PMM*
Records not received.

Remarks for Serial No. 15 (2) hold good even in this case. According to C.R.B. rules, a vacancy which has exceeded three months should be registered though it is for a short period; its registry therefore is regular. *PMM*

The facts of the case are as follows:-

- occurred on 4th January 1930.
- report received in the Deputy Commissioner's Office on 17th March 1930.
- Advertised as Vacancy No.1904 on 3rd April 1930.

(24)

(13) Vacancy of III Clerk, in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court, Tiptur.

The entries in the Vacancy Register show that the vacancy occurred on 1st July 1930 and was available till 1st March 1931. This was advertised as Vacancy No. 237 in the Gazette dated 24th July 1930 and the report of the appointment of S. Chikkakempaiya was sent on 29th August 1930. The "A" statement Register however showed that this same vacancy had been advertised as Vacancy No. 1908 in the Gazette dated 3rd April 1930 and one Channamallaiya appointed. He prayed for being relieved from his duties from 1st July 1930. He was relieved from 1st July 1930 and action was taken to advertise the vacancy once again. The matter could have been disposed of by selecting one of the remaining C.R.B. candidates that had responded to the advertisement. If none was available, it should have been readvertised without bringing it on the Vacancy Register again.

The appointment of Chennamallaiya had been reported to the Central Recruitment Board and he had actually worked for some time as may be seen from his application dated 17th June 30 praying for relief. On his prayer for relief he was relieved. It became therefore a fresh vacancy and was registered afresh and advertised. Chennamallaiya cannot, within the meaning of Rule 23, C.R.B. Manual be considered as having declined the post and another of the applicants for the post, selected. According to Rule 23 the vacancy has to be re-advertised if the candidate that had applied and was appointed declines the post. This is not such a case as stated above. Hence the vacancy was registered and re-advertised instead of waiting for 3 months. The advertisement, re-advertisement and appointment

(14) Vacancy of Patrol Sub Inspector, Tiptur Range
Rs 25/- Duration one year from 1-7-1930.

This vacancy has been classified as a General Vacancy. The three previous vacancies shown as special are (1) 2182 dated 8th May 1930 (2) 2630 dated 26th June 1930 (3) 237 (This is only a re-advertised vacancy, which should not have been re-registered in the Vacancy Register Vide Sl.No.13) dated 24th July 1930. But there were other special vacancies which were advertised in the meanwhile, viz., No.2616 dated 26th June 1930 and 416 dated 28th August 1930. In all 5 vacancies were treated as special vacancies prior to the classification of the one under note as General. This is not in conformity with the rules. This is an executive appointment but has been entered in the Register for Ministerial appointments.

28 (15) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta, Madhugiri Taluk,
Permanent on Rs 30/-.

This vacancy occurred on 1st August 1930 and was advertised as Vacancy No.572 on 25-9-1930 and applications received from the Recruitment Board were forwarded by the Deputy Commissioner to the Sub Division Officer on 31st October 1930. It has been entered in the vacancy register that no appointment was made in view of the Government Order No.A.2422-32/L.R.105-28-131 dated 27th October 1930 sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the Revenue Department and directing that no vacancy should be permanently filled up.

No other entries have been made. It is not known what acting arrangements were made for conduct of work. Further enquiries have ~~been~~ to be made.

The remarks may be ~~drawn~~ *PMH*
withdrawn *C*

Records not received. *PMH*
Rg
67

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*
PMH
Rg
67

No records. The appointment was probably re-
~~transferred~~ *PMH* *C* *Rg*
trenched as per Government Order
quoted in the Note.

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*
PMH
Rg
67

16 - 25

29 (16) Vacancy of Excise Clerk, Taluk office Korata-
gere on Rs 25/-

This is a permanent vacancy and it was reported to the District Office on 31st August 1930. The actual date of its occurrence has not been intimated. It is not known when it occurred. In all such cases the date of occurrence should invariably be quoted in the "A" statement furnishing the particulars of the vacancy.

This vacancy is classified as a General one. The three special vacancies taken into account for treating this as General are (1) No. 573 dated 25th September 1930 (4 months), (2) No. 572 dated 25th September 1930 permanent which was not filled up as per reorganisation order (3) 574 dated 25th September 1930. The registration of permanent and temporary vacancies in a continuous series and taking into account the temporary vacancies for treating a permanent vacancy as General are not in conformity with the rules. Vacancy No. 572 (1), (2), which has not been filled up ought to have been struck off. On the other hand it has been taken into account for treating the vacancy under note (which would otherwise have been special) as General.

But this does not justify the irregularity

Records not rec
offer any comments
from the Central R

there was misclass
one General vacan
more.

Ammt
The
Commissioner

30 (17) Vacancy of Accountant, District Treasury, Tumkur.

It has been noted in the "A" statement Register that the vacancy occurred on 23rd September 1930 by the grant of extension of leave to Mr. A. Ananthasastri. The acting arrangements made were approved by Government subject to the condition that the vacancy should be advertised if the leave were extended. The leave was extended by one month and the vacancy was notified in the Gazette as No. 1352 dated 2nd September 1930.

This vacancy has not been entered in the Vacancy Register and no mention is made in either the vacancy or "A" and "B" registers with regard to the disposal of applications, if any, received.

It was found from the acquittance roll that Ananthasastri was on combined leave from 22nd March 1930 to 17th November 1930. One C. Gangadhara Rao (a locally available candidate) was entertained on Rs. 25 from 17th January 1930 in connection with the acting arrangements made in the District Treasury in the vacancy of Mr. Ananthasastri who was on other duty in the District Office till 22nd March 1930 when he was reverted to his original appointment in the District Treasury. From that day he went on leave and returned to duty only on 17th November 1930. Up to this period C. Gangadhara Rao (whose appointment was not made through the Central Recruitment Board) was allowed to continue.

The "A" statement register shows that one Mailgiri Rao clerk on Rs. 35/- was on other duty in the Mandya Sub Division Office from 1st May 1930 and the vacancy was advertised as long standing on 26th June 1930. The appointment of C. Gangadhara Rao on Rs. 25/- against Rs. 35/-

was reported to the Central Recruitment Board Office on 25th August 1930.

The acquittance roll however shows that Mailgiri Rao went to the Mandya Sub Division Office on 1st April 1930. Prior to this, he was on other duty in the Gubbi Sub Division Office from 1st February 1930. On this date one A. Madhava Rao (a Brahmin graduate) was appointed by the Deputy Commissioner and he was allowed to continue against this vacancy for a long time. This is an irregular appointment and is being still continued. A separate note on this case has been put up in another connection. From the above it will be seen that though the formality of advertisement of the vacancy of a clerk against the post of Mailgiri Rao who went on other duty was observed and a report of its having been filled up by the appointment of Gangadhara Rao forwarded, the latter was not actually posted in this vacancy (vide margin) The report therefore appears to be false.

The Secretary
Gangadhara Rao's appoi
No. 2630 of Cleri
Secretary on 25t
fore clear how he
appointment was
Recruitment Boar
Gangadhara Rao's
It will be seen
a Mahratta was n
an appointment o
Rs 30/- was promo
Rs 25/- to Rs 30/-
on Rs 25/- given
series. This is
13 (d) C.R.B. Ma
the lowest post
only. In these
statement that "t
be false" is not

eived. So I am not able to
. It will however be seen
recruitment Board Note that if
sification the result has been
by less and one Special Vacancy

marks of the present Dy,
may be called for
a

himself states that C. Ganga-
ment in an advertised vacancy
on Rs 25/- was reported to the
Aug 1930. It is not there-
could say that Gangadhara Rao
not made through the Central
Copies of Memos regarding
appointment are herein enclosed
therefrom that Gangadhara Rao
not appointed on Rs 25/- against
Rs 35/- but an official on
Rs 35/- and an official on
and the place of the official
to Gangadhara Rao in the same
direct recruitment as per Rule
ual and it is quite regular as
in this class is ~~one~~ on Rs 25 ~~only~~
circumstances, the Secretary's
the report therefore appears to
correct.

The vacancy No 2630 relates to the vacancy caused
on 1.8.30 by the transfer of Melagiri Rao to the
Mandya Sub Division Office (vide sl 10). C. Ganga-
dhara Rao who was reported to have been appoint-
ed in this advertised vac-
a consequential vacancy caused by the transfer of Melagiri Rao to the Mandya Sub Division Office is not required.
ancy, has been posted
for work in this vacancy. Melagiri Rao's
vacancy was other wise filled up (vide sl 10).
Gangadhara Rao should have been appointed
in the vacancy of Melagiri Rao according
to the advertisement and his vacancy
should have been filled up according to
the recruitment rules.

This will be pointed out to Mr. Subb. Rao

MM

197-

(26)

35 (22) Vacancy of Village Panchayet Clerk ~~xx~~ in the Gubbi Taluk Office on Rs 30/-

This ~~is~~ a leave vacancy for nine months from 1-1-1931 up to 1-10-1931. The following particulars were found in the "A" statement and the Vacancy Registers.

1. occurred on 1-1-31.
2. Report received in the Dt. Office 31-3-31
3. A statement sent to C.R.B. Office on 14-5-31
4. Vacancy advertised as No. 1824 on 25-6-31
5. Applications received in the Dt. Office on 14-7-31
6. Appointment of Revanna reported to C.R.B. on 3-9-31.

It will thus be seen that owing to the delay caused at each stage, the vacancy was regularly filled up only in the early part of September 1931 i.e., just a month prior to its termination.

By the time the report was received in the District Office, (31-3-1931) I had handed over charge of the ~~District~~ District.

GMM
The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner may be called for *C*

Rg
6

36 (23) Vacancy of Excise Range office clerk, Kunigal Tk on Rs 25/-

It was seen from the "A" Statement Register that this vacancy was a permanent ~~one~~ ~~xxx~~ caused by the retirement of N. Desikan from 1-1-31. It was registered in the Vacancy Register as a General ~~xxxxxx~~ vacancy, the three previous special vacancies being

- (a) No. 790 lasting for 6 months
- (b) " 1826 long standing but reported to have ceased

-- Do --

GMM

The one subsequent to vacancy No. 700 is also a special vacancy No. 962. This is left out. It will thus be seen that there were 4 special vacancies continuously prior to this vacancy. Strictly speaking, vacancy No. 1024 which is ~~not~~ classified as the fourth special vacancy should have been treated as general when the present vacancy would have become a special one.

In order to treat a permanent vacancy as general three temporary vacancies have been taken into consideration. This is also irregular.

37 (24) Vacancy of Taluk Sheristadar, Chikkanayakanhalli

It was noticed in the "A" statement register that this was a permanent vacancy which occurred on 6-5-31 by the retirement of the incumbent. The following arrangements were made to fill it up.

M. Mahantna Rao (permanent revenue inspector on Rs. 55/- (ought to be Rs. 58/-) who was acting as revenue head accountant on Rs. 60/- in grade 50-2-60 was appointed as Taluk Sheristadar. Interim arrangements were made to fill up the vacancies in grade 40-2-50 and 50-2-60 and a place on Rs. 40/- a long standing one, was made available for direct recruitment. This has been advertised as vacancy No. 401 in the Gazette dated 3-9-31 but has not yet been filled up for want of administrative sanction. Under the rules a vacancy on Rs. 50/- at least should have been made available for direct recruitment. Further, in a place of permanent vacancy that has occurred, a vacancy of a temporary nature (longstanding) has been made available for direct recruitment. This is not in order.

No remarks, I handed over charge of the District on 25th March 1931.

~~This has been disposed of as a~~
A separate report received has been
subd. for perusal - vide flag W.
The official file is to be kept and to have the
work should be put up.

R3
The connected file is put up. The A.S. shows that it was treated as a promotion vacancy. But no explanation was furnished, as stated by the present S.C. (vide page 7 of the notes). The present S.C. may perhaps be asked to state whether the original vacancy at the time of its occurrence, was brought on the Vac. Register and classified correctly as promotion one.

Notes of _____
of the Unit Office
for the period from _____

Sl. No.
of item.

Secretary's Notes

1. JUDICIAL HEAD MUNSHI:- Rs 57-4-77.

It was ascertained that this place became vacant so far as back as 1st July 1927 when the permanent official was on other duty as Amildar.

The following arrangements had been made to fill up this vacancy:-

- (1) T. Sanjeevaiya on Rs 55 to Rs 57/-
- (2) T. A. Bashiruddin 50 to Rs 55/-
- (3) Ananthasastry 40 to Rs 50/-
(Accountant District Treasury)
- (4) N. Nanjundaiya 30 to Rs 40/-
- (5) T. L. Narasimhaiya 25 to Rs 30/-
- (6) T. S. Mukunda Rao Rs 25/-

~~~~~  
The promotion of serials 1 & 2 is in order. The post

appointments should have been made available for direct recruitment. Instead, one Ananthaswamy of the Treasury Department has been promoted to fill this post on 3-7-29 and consequential vacancies up to Rs 25/- also have been filled up by promotion. This is irregular.

The last place on Rs 25/- has also been filled up by the appointment of a locally available candidate pending compliance with Central Recruitment Board rules. (Vide office memo No. 1825-26 dated 17th May 1927) This candidate (Mukunda Rao) is a Brahmin and had then appeared for the C.S.L.C. Examination. It is however ascertained that he failed in the Examination and has not passed since. Even this last place, therefore, has been filled up by the appointment of an unqualified candidate.

From the service register of the official (Mukunda Rao) it was found that he continued in the same appointment and was subsequently confirmed on 1st January 1930. From the above facts, it will be observed that either action was not taken in time to advertise the vacancy (as per office memo) or no attention was paid to the completion of the formalities of the advertisement. The District office is unable to explain the matter.

The vacancy of Judicial Head Munshi became permanent in August 1929, when, at least, action should have been taken to terminate the acting arrangements and to dispose of the vacancy in accordance with the rules. But it was found from the Acquittance roll for the year 1929-30 that the acting arrangements were continued.

Rs 25/- as per G.O. No. 9008-9157/CRB dated 1-6-1929  
and 2164-2264/CRB 17-29-1 dated 21-10-1929. The  
former Government Order exempts officials who were  
entertained under G.O. dated 28-11-1921 from passing  
S.S.L.C. Examination and the latter permits such  
officials to be confirmed on Rs 25/- without adver-  
tisement. I am at a loss to understand why the  
District Sheristadar did not explain this.

(ii) The vacancy of Judicial Head Munshi was not  
filled up in Aug 1929 when it became permanent as  
per Revenue Commr's D.O. letter No. C. 2501/28-29  
dated 21-2-1929 in which it was ~~stated~~ stated that  
permanent arrangements should not be made until  
orders on the Revision of Revenue establishments were  
received as it was anticipated that a certain number  
of hands would be retrenched and places would have  
to be found for the superfluous officials.

OF THE DEPT.

1st July 1929 to 31st December 1931.

Deputy Commissioner's Remarks.

(Mr. Kulkarni)

The appointments were made by my predecessor in 1927.

Mukunda Rao is a Non-Brahmin and not a Brahmin as stated in the Central Recruitment Board Note. His appointment at the time of entertainment was regular at the minimum qualification fixed for candidates was a pass in the Lower Secondary or a certificate of having studied in the V Form of a recognised High School for a post on Rs 25/- (Vide G.O.No. EA. 2093-172, E.A. 14-21-7 dated 28th Nov 1921 and G.O. No. 1043-1102/C.B. 71-22-3 dated 4-9-1922) and Mukunda Rao had appeared for S.S.L.C. examination. As

The serial promotion arrangements made to fill up the vacancy on Rs 30/- (which have not been explained) are not in order. This may be pointed out to the Deputy Commr. to enable him to avoid such things.

Mukunda Rao was not entertained ~~there~~ in accordance with the C.R.B. rules, having been appointed in the vacancy pending compliance of the C.R.B. rules. Hence his confirmation in his appointment is not unobjectionable under the rules.

The irregularities may be pointed out.

Rs 25/- as per G.O. No. 9008-9157/CRB dated 1-6-1929  
and 2164-2264/CRB 17-29-1 dated 21-10-1929. The  
former Government Order exempts officials who were  
entertained under G.O. Dated 28-11-1921 from passing  
S.S.L.C examination and the latter permits such  
officials to be confirmed on Rs 25/- without adver-  
tisement. I am at a loss to understand why the  
District Sheristadar did not explain this..

(11) The vacancy of Judicial Head Munshi was not  
filled up in Aug 1929 when it became permanent as  
per Revenue Commr's D.O. letter No. C. 2501/28-29  
dated 21-2-1929 in which it was ~~stated~~ stated that  
permanen arrangements should not be made until  
orders on the Revision of Revenue establishments were  
received as it was anticipated that a certain number  
of hands would be retrenched and places would have  
to be found for the superfluous officials. *SM*

Clerk on Rs 50/-

This place also became vacant so far back as 1st July 1927 when the permanent incumbent was on other duty and the post became permanently vacant on 1-4-1929 by his retirement.

The following acting arrangements were made in the month of July 1927 to fill up the vacancy and continued since then:-

1. A.H.Kongovi on Rs 45/- to Rs 50/-  
(permanent on Rs 40/-)
2. B.Krishnamurthi Rao on Rs 40/- to 45/-
3. T.Sahasiva Rao " 35/- to 40/-
4. A.Samuel David. 30/- to 35/-
5. T.Subba Rao. 25/- to 30/-
6. S.E.Manuel. 20/- to 25/-  
(Ag: Nale Manegar Rs 20/- in the Gujbi Tk).

As this vacancy carries a pay of Rs 50/- which is the minimum pay of the Class I of appointment, it should have been treated as a Direct recruitment vacancy (unless it was a promotion one) and advertised. On the other hand, the last place on Rs 25/- has also been filled up by the promotion of one S.E.Manuel who was recruited under the rules as acting Manegar on Rs 20/- He is stated to have undergone only the S.S.L.C. course and is therefore not qualified for an appointment carrying a pay of Rs 25/-

The original vacancy on Rs 50/- became permanent in April 1929. It might have been registered afresh in the permanent series at the time and dealt with according to the rules. But as stated above the acting arrangements continued.

III REVENUE HEAD ACCOUNTANT. District Office on Rs 57-4-7

This is a long standing vacancy due to the incumbent R.Nanjappa being on other duty. The following arrangements have been made to fill up the vacancy.

- |                                                                       |               |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| (1) T.A.Bashiruddin on Rs 50/- to Rs 57                               | Class         |
| (2) T.Venkatachar, head Clerk of the Special Magistrate's Court on Rs | to Rs 50/-    |
| (3) M.Namasivaiya Setty, Clerk, District                              | office        |
| on Rs 40/- transferred to                                             | 2) on Rs 40/- |

Serial promotion arrangements appear to have been made to fill up the consequential vacancies in District Office.

These promotion arrangements from class to class are irregular.

Here again the appointments were made by my predecessor. In this case also, the acting arrangements were continued in accordance with the Revenue Commissioner's D.O. above referred to. It may be stated that the last acting man Manuel, was a Non-Brahmin (Christian) and was qualified to get Rs.25/- as per old rules, and also entitled to get confirmation on Rs.25/- as per Government Orders dated 1-6-29 and 21-10-29 as stated in the above case.

have The records connected with this vacancy have not been received from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur. In the absence of the records, I can only say that the vacancy was probably a promotion one.

EMM

The fact that promotion arrangements up to the last class of appointments and the transfer of appointments from Executive (Vala Manager) to the Ministerial grades are not in order may be pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner.

RB

~~The records of the previous Deputy Commissioner~~  
~~are not available.~~

The note is too vague to offer any remarks.  
It is not known when the vacancy occurred and how  
long it continued. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur  
has not sent me the records connected with this  
vacancy. It may be that the arrangements were  
made in a promotion vacancy.

GMA

The remarks of the present Deputy Commissioner  
may be called for. C

Ra

# Inspection Notes of the District

office, Tumkur.

Period of Inspection:- the years 1929-30  
1930-31  
1931-32 (up to 31.12.31)

. The establishment of the office  
was as follows:-

1929-30.

|                      |   |               |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| District Sheristadar | 1 | Rs 100-10-150 |
| Head Clerk           | 1 | " 87- 4-100   |
| Heads of Sections    | 3 | " 54-4-77     |
| Clerk                | 1 | " 55          |
| Clerks               | 2 | " 50.         |
| Clerks               | 2 | " 45          |
| Clerks               | 2 | " 40          |
| Clerks               | 3 | " 35          |
| Clerks               | 6 | " 30          |
| Clerks               | 6 | " 25          |

27

## DISTRICT TREASURY (Accounts Branch)

|                   |   |         |
|-------------------|---|---------|
| Head Accountant   | 1 | Rs 60/- |
| Deputy Accountant | 1 | " 45/-  |
| Accountant        | 1 | " 40/-  |
| Do                | 1 | " 35/-  |
| Do                | 1 | " 30/-  |
| Do                | 1 | " 25/-  |

## District Treasury (Cash Branch)

|                    |   |         |
|--------------------|---|---------|
| District Treasurer | 1 | Rs 77/- |
| Head Shroff        | 1 | " 35/-  |
| Deputy Shroff      | 1 | " 30/-  |
| Gumasta            | 1 | " 25/-  |

## Temporary Establishment

|                        |   |                 |
|------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Savings Bank Collector | 1 | Rs 30 plus Rs15 |
| Bench Court Clerk      | 1 | Rs 30/-         |

The audit of appointments was  
confined to the years 1929-30, 1930-31  
and <sup>the</sup> first half of 1931-32 i.e., <sup>up</sup> to the  
end of December 1931.

An examination of the Acquittance  
Roll for the month of July 1929 dis-  
closed the following points:-

✓  
I. Judicial Head Munshi:- Rs 57-4-77.

It was ascertained that this place became vacant so far back as 1st July 1927 when the permanent official was on other duty as Amildar.

The following arrangements had been made to fill up this vacancy:-

- (1) T. Sanjeevaiya on Rs 55 to Rs 57/-
- (2) T.A. Bashiruddin Rs 50 to Rs 55/-
- (3) Anantha Sastry Rs 40 to Rs 50/-  
(Accountant District Treasury)
- (4) N. Nanjundia Rs 30/- to Rs 40/-
- (5) T.L. Naresimhaiya Rs 25/- to Rs 30/-
- (6) T.S. Mukunda Rao Rs 25/-

- - The promotion of serials 1 & 2 is in order. The place on Rs 50/- carrying the minimum pay of class I of appointments should have been made available for direct recruitment. Instead, one Ananta Sastry of the Treasury Department has been promoted to fill ~~xx~~ this post on 3-7-1929 and consequential vacancies up to Rs 25/- also have been filled up by promotion. This is irregular.

The last place on Rs 25/- has ~~also~~ been ~~xxxx~~ filled up by the appointment of a locally available candidate pending compliance with Central Recruitment Board rules. (Vide Office Memo No. 1825-26 dated 17th May 1927.) This candidate (Mukunda Rao) is a Brahmin and had then appeared for the S.S.L.C. Examination. It is however ascertained that he failed in the Examination and has not passed since. Even this last place, therefore, has been filled up by the appointment of an unqualified candidate. (2)

From the Service Register of this official (Mukunda Rao) it was found that he continued in the same appointment and was subsequently confirmed on 1st January 1930. From the above facts, it will be observed that either action was not taken in time to advertise the vacancy (as per Office Memo) or no attention was paid to the completion of the formalities of the advertisement. The District office is unable

*This will have to be cancelled, I am afraid - fm*

to explain the matter.

(3) | The vacancy of Judicial Head Munshi became permanent in August 1929, when, at least, action should have been taken to terminate the acting arrangements and to dispose of the vacancy in accordance with the rules. But it was found from the Acquittance Roll for the year 1929-30 that the acting arrangements were continued.

## II. Clerk on Rs 50/-

This place also became vacant so far back as 1st July 1927 when the permanent incumbent was on other duty and the post became permanently vacant on 1-4-1929 by his retirement.

The following acting arrangements were made in the month of July 1927 to fill up the vacancy and continued since then:-

- (1) A.H.Kangovi on Rs 45 to Rs 50/-  
(Permanent on Rs 40)
- (2) B.Krishnamurthi Rao Rs 40 to Rs 45/-
- (3) T.Sadasiva Rao Rs 35 to Rs 40/-
- (4) A. Samuel David Rs 30 to Rs 35/-
- (5) T.Subba Rao Rs 25 to Rs 30/-
- (6) S.E.Manuel Rs 20 to Rs 25/-  
(Ag. Nale Manegar Rs 20/- in the Gubbi Taluk.)

As this Vacancy carries a pay of Rs 50/- which is the minimum pay of class of appointments, it should have been treated as Direct Recruitment Vacancy (unless it was a promotion one) and advertised. On the other hand, the last place on Rs 25/- has also been filled up by the promotion of one S.E.Manuel who was recruited under the rules as acting Manegar on Rs 20/-

Manegar on Rs 20/-. He is stated to have undergone only the S.S.L.C. Course and is therefore not qualified for an appointment carrying a pay of Rs 25/-.

*will have to be  
cancelled?  
must be*

The original vacancy on ~~Rs 25/-~~ Rs 50/- became permanent on 1st April 1929. ~~Not at least,~~ It might have been registered ~~as~~ afresh in the permanent series <sup>at the time</sup> and dealt with according to the <sup>as stated above</sup> rules. But/the acting arrangements continued.

✓ III Revenue Head Accountant Rs 57-4-77

This is a longstanding vacancy due to the incumbent, R. Nanjappa, <sup>being</sup> on other duty. The following arrangements have been made to fill up the vacancy:-

- (1) T.A. Bashiruddin on Rs 50/- to Rs 57/-
- (2) T. Venkatachar, Head Clerk  
- of the 2nd Special Magistrate's Court on Rs 40/- to Rs 50/-
- (3) M. Namasivaiya Setty, Clerk,  
District office on Rs 40/- transferred to (2) on Rs 40/-

Serialim arrangements appear to have been made to fill up the consequential vacancies in the District office.

- These promotion arrangements from class to class are irregular.

✓ IV. Clerk on Rs 30/-. This is a ~~longstanding~~ longstanding vacancy due to its incumbent, A. Devasikanani, being on other duty.

One T. S. Krishna Rao, a clerk on Rs 25/- was promoted to the vacancy. His Service Register showed that Krishna Rao first entered service on 1st October 1924 on a pay of Rs 25/-. He was not a Central Recruitment Board candidate but is said to have been exempted from the rules for being appointed in the Madhugiri Sub Division. The relevant records were not produced in support, but the Exemption Register maintained in the Central Recruitment Board office does not bear out

*This must be verified  
if not verified*

the statement. Krishna Rao was confirmed on 1st July 1926 in his first appointment and promoted to Rs 30/- on 4th August 1926 in the above vacancy. It is ascertained that though his lien was transferred to the Sub Division office temporarily, he was all along working in the District office from 1924

✓ V. Clerk on Rs 25/- This vacancy was caused by the incumbent Syed Ahmed going on other duty. The <sup>following</sup> ~~full~~ arrangements were made to fill it up:-

(1) M. Basavaiya, - posted in the Village Panchayat Clerk vacancy on Rs 25/- on Rs 25/-

All along he was on other duty in the Registration Department on Rs 30/-

(2) Honnagangappa appointed on Rs 25/- against (1) in the above vacancy.

Honnagangappa, a locally available candidate, was appointed in the vacancy and continued up to 12th Sept 1929 on 28th January 1929, i.e., nearly for 8 months. The filling up of the vacancy which was one of more than three months without advertisement is irregular.

✓ VI. Head Accountant, Treasury Accounts Branch. Rs 60/-

This place is shown in the Acquittance Roll as vacant on the ground that the incumbent ~~thereon~~ <sup>shown</sup> against it has not passed the Accounts Examination prescribed by the Comptroller for such appointments.

C.N. Gopalaswami Naik, the <sup>incumbent</sup> ~~incumbent~~ being on other duty as Amildar, one Hanumantha Rao Sheikdar on Rs 45/- has been appointed in the vacancy on Rs 57/- It is ascertained that he has passed the -----

~~Accounts~~ Examination. This appointment is irregular for the following reasons:-

-(1) Promotions have been made from class to class of appointments.

(2) Appointments in the ministerial line have been filled up by the transfer of hands from the executive, which should be avoided as far as possible.

VII. District Treasurer - Rs 77/-.

Mr. P.R.Narasimhaiya went on leave from 5th June 1929 up to 1st February 1930 i.e., for 7 months and 27 days.

Mr. A.H.Kongavi, acting on Rs 50/- was promoted to fill up this vacancy. The other acting arrangements made in his place were continued during the period. *vide al H*

- It is stated that leave was extended piecemeal and action could not therefore be taken to have the vacancy advertised. According to rules, as soon as the vacancy extended beyond three months, action should have been taken to advertise it. *|| +*

VIII. In November 1929, Mr. C.Bhashyam, Superintendent Current Section in grade Rs 87-4-100 went on other duty for three months.

The following arrangements were made to fill up the vacancy:-

- (1) Mr. K.Narasimhaiengar, Revenue Head Munshi on Rs 77 to Rs 87/-
- (2) Mr. M.Hanumantha Rao, Try. Head Munshi, Rs 55/- to Rs 57/-  
in grade Rs 57-4-77 *Rs 60*
- (3) Mr. S.Revanna, Clerk, Sub Division office on Rs 55/- to Rs 60/-
- (4) N.Nanjundaiya, Acting in the - against Serial (3) in the District Treasury for Anantasastri Gubbi Sub Division office on Rs 40/- from 17th January 1930.
- (5) T.K.Krishnamurthi, Head Munshi of the Kunigal Taluk office who on Rs 40/- vice (4) was brought on a temporary transfer arrangement on Rs 35/-
- (6) C.Shamanna on Rs 30/- to ~~xxxxx~~ Rs 35/- vice (5)
- (7) K.Venkata Rao on Rs 25/- to Rs 30/- Vice (6)
- (8) C.Gangadhara Rao Rs 25/-

This vacancy has also been filled up by promotion. The arrangements made in the place *+*

of M. Nanjundiah, who was acting for  
Anantasastry, continued till Anantasastry  
joined duty in the Treasury i.e., up to  
17<sup>th</sup> November 1930  
~~22nd September 1930~~

These seriatim arrangements and the  
appointment of a locally available candidate,  
C. Gangadhara Rao, in the last place from 17<sup>th</sup>  
January 1930 up to <sup>17<sup>th</sup> November 1930</sup> ~~22nd September 1930~~ with-  
out reference to the Central Recruitment Board  
are not in order.

✓ IX. K. Narasimha Iyengar, Revenue Head  
Munshi on Rs 55-4-77 went on leave for three  
months from 19th February 1930. M. Hanumantha  
Rao who was working in the same vacancy (Vide  
VIII ~~supra~~) was allowed to continue and the  
other seriatim arrangements were also con-  
tinued. It will be seen that this vacancy  
continued from November 1929 ( Vide VIII) up  
to 29th May 1930 i.e., for a period of six  
months. On 29th May 1930 T.A. Bashiruddin acting  
as Head Accountant went on combined leave for  
three months. Mr. M. Hanumantha<sup>Rao</sup> was again  
continued in this vacancy. Thus this offi-  
cial has been given promotion continuously in  
several short term vacancies. The seriatim  
promotions ordered without throwing open a  
vacancy on Rs 50/- at least, for advertisement  
are not in order.

✓ X. Permanent vacancy of Deputy Clerk on  
Rs 40/- in the Gubbi Sub Division office. This  
vacancy occurred on 1st January 1930 by the  
retirement of the incumbent. One H.C. Mela-  
giri Rao, a clerk on Rs 35/- of the District  
office, was transferred on Rs 40/- to the ----  
vacancy on 1st February 1930 and in his place  
one A. Madhava Rao, a Brahmin and a graduate  
was appointed without reference to the

## Central Recruitment Board

The appointment of Madhava Rao on Rs 30/- against the vacancy on Rs 35/- is irregular.

Madhava Rao, ever since his initial appointment on 1st February 1930, has been allowed to continue till now in one vacancy or the other without break of service as shown below:-

It was ascertained that this arrangement to fill up the vacancy on Rs 40/- was made pending advertisement of the same. The vacancy was advertised as No. 1561 in the Gazette dated 6th February 1930 and filled up by the appointment of one T.T. Govindarajan.

From the Acquittance Roll it was found that Melagiri Rao, as soon as the vacancy in the Gubbi Sub Division office was regularly filled up, was transferred to the Mandya Sub Division office i.e., from 1st April 1930. On this date, at least, the appointment of Madhava Rao <sup>in Melagiri Rao's place in the District Office</sup> should have been terminated and a vacancy on Rs 35/- or Rs 25/- should have been thrown open for advertisement. But this was not done and Madhava Rao was continued till the end of July 1930. In August 1930, one T. Narasinga Rao, <sup>former</sup> clerk of the District Office on Rs 30/- was promoted to Melagiri Rao's place on Rs 35/- and in his place one A.S. Narasimhamurthi, clerk on Rs 25/- in the Gubbi Sub Division office was brought on Rs 30/-. As this official did not join duty in the District office, Madhava Rao was continued from August 1930 till 20th May 1931. On 21st May 1931, S.L. Narasimha a clerk of the District office on Rs 31/- in the grade of Rs 25-1-30-1-40, was transferred to the Special Magistrate's Court at Madhugiri on a pay of Rs 40/-. Madhava Rao was continued in this vacancy from 21st May 1931 up to November 1931 in the District office. During this month, one T.K. Krishna Murthi of the District Treasury (Accounts Branch) was taken to the District office and the lien of S.L. Narasimhaiya held by A. Madhava Rao, was in turn transferred from the District office to the District Treasury.

The following extracts from the  
Office memoranda the Service  
Register of Madhava Row are  
given below:-

Office Memo no ml Dated 21.3.1931.

S.L. Narasimhaia ——— was posted as  
Clerk Dist Office Hk clerk of the Spl  
Magistrates Court  
at Madhugiri

Mr. Krishna Murthy  
Ag Accountant &  
Treasurer in grade ——— Vice No (1)  
Rs 28-1-30-1-40

A. Madhava Row  
Temporary Clerk ——— Vice No (2)  
on Rs 30

From the Acquittance Roll shows  
that Madhava Row is continued to  
work in the District Treasury in the lieu of  
S.L. Narasimhaia.

Extract from the Service Regt:

~~A. Madhava Row~~ ——— Acting on Rs 30.  
from 1.2.30  
Verified up to the end of July 1931.

and Madhava Rao has therefore been working in the District Treasury from November 1931. This irregular appointment should not be continued. This is one of the many irregularities pointed out in a communication received from Mr. Chennappa, Member of the Legislative Council at Tumkur camp. Under the rules such irregular appointments are liable to be vetoed.

✓ XI. District Registrar, Sheristedar

Mr. N. Sessa Sastry in grade Rs 100-10-150 went on leave for three and a half months from 24th March 1930 preparatory to retirement.

Mr. A. Ramachandra Rao, Head Clerk of the District office, Bangalore in grade Rs 87-4-100 was posted in the vacancy as per Revenue Commissioner's Memo dated 26th March 1930 and he was confirmed after the expiry of the leave from 8th August 1931 in Revenue Commissioner's Memo No. D1.Dis 297/30-31 dated 23rd August 1930.

The disposal of the consequential vacancy in the District office Bangalore should be enquired into.

The following are the irregularities noticed from the Acquittance Roll of the  
✓ Special II Class Magistrate's Court; - Tumkur  
1929-30, 1930-31, 1931-32 up to December 1931.

Mr. T. Venkata Char, Head Clerk, was on other duty in the District office. In his place M. Namasivayam Chetty was transferred from the Deputy Commissioner's office on 23rd Novr' 1928. He was working as Head clerk till 1st June 1930 when he

Strength:-  
Head Clerk - Rs 40/-  
Deputy Clerk - Rs 30/-  
Process Clerk " 25/-

*This will be looked into when this office is inspected*

was transferred to the office of the District Superintendent of Police. The following arrangements were ~~made~~ made to fill up the place on 1st June 1930.

B.Thirunarayanaiengar, Deputy Clerk on Rs 30/- was promoted to the Head Clerk's place on Rs 40/-

Dadajan, the third ( or Process) clerk on Rs 25/- was promoted to Rs 30/- in the place of B.Thirunarayana-iengar.

D.Sitaramaiya, a Brahmin ( it was ascertained that he <sup>has passed</sup> ~~was a~~ Lower Secondary) who was Copyist in the Court was appointed on Rs 25/- in the place of ~~Dadajan~~ Dadajan. These arrangements were continued up to 1st December 1930 i.e., for a period of six months when a candidate ( Brahmarayappa) who had applied for the Head Clerk's advertised vacancy was appointed as Head Clerk and the acting arrangements were terminated.

Promotions from class to class of appointments for a period of six months are not in order. The appointment of an unqualified/<sup>Brahmin</sup> candidate against the advertised special vacancy is also irregular.

On 5th February 1931, the Head Clerk went on leave for one month and 24 days up to 1st April 1931. During this period also, the above seriatim arrangements were made and the last place on Rs 25/- was again given to D.Sitaramaiya an unqualified candidate. This is irregular.

Acquittance Roll of the Special First Class Magistrate's Court, Tumkur.

1929-30, ~~1930-31~~ up to 1931-32 ( till the end of December 1931).

Strength:-

|            |   |         |                           |            |
|------------|---|---------|---------------------------|------------|
| Head Clerk | 1 | Rs 50/- | Mr. Shammugavelu Mudaliar | (Mudaliar) |
| 2nd Clerk  | 1 | Rs 35/- | M.L.Narayana Rao          | (Brahmin)  |
| 3rd Clerk  | 1 | Rs 25/- | M.Venkatesan              | (Barber)   |
| 4th Clerk  | 1 | Rs 25/- | B.Dasappa                 | (Brahmin)  |

M.Venkatesan (3rd Clerk) was on privilege leave for one month from 1st July 1929 up to 1st August 1929 when one K.Venkatasubbaiya, a Brahmin, who had undergone U.E.Course, a Copyist of the same Court was appointed to act.

On 1st November 1929 Mr. Shanmuga velu Mudaliar, Head Clerk was transferred to the Shimoga District as II Grade Sheristadar. Seriatim arrangements were made to fill up the vacancy and the last place on Rs 25/- was kept vacant. In December 1929, one Mr. P. Shama Rao, a permanent Revenue Inspector was appointed to act in the Head Clerk's place. But without joining duty, he applied for leave and while he was on leave he was posted as Sheristadar. He, therefore, drew no pay in the Court and the seriatim arrangements already made were continued. From 1st February 1930, one C.V. Chandrasekhara Sastry, a Brahmin who had passed S.S.L.C.

Examination was appointed in the last place on Rs 25/- (which had been kept vacant from 1st Novr'1929) and was continued up to 12th June 1930 when the Central Recruitment Board candidate Rudraappa took charge as Head clerk. The seriatim promotion arrangements made on 1st November 1929 and the local appointment of C.V. Chandrasekhara Sastry on 1st February 1930 ceased on 12th June 1930.

It is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's office Memo NO. L.Dis Cl. C-29 dated 4th June 1930 that one B. Rudrappa, a candidate for special vacancy No. 1557 Mysore Gazette dated February 1930 was appointed to act (as a temporary measure) as Head Clerk on Rs 50/- vice Mr. Mr. Shanmugam Mudaliar on other duty in the

in the Channarayana District subject to his passing the Criminal Higher Examination and furnishing a security of Rs 250/- within a month. From 12th June 1930 up to 28th November 1931 he worked as Head Clerk.

Extract from the Notes relating to the Vacancy Register ( Vide No. 8) is put up herewith for ready reference:-  
- - - - -

Occurred on 13-11-1929  
Advertised " 6-2-1930  
as No. 1557  
1st Appointment of Ahobalappa made on 13th March 1930  
2nd appointment of D. Rudrappa made on 12th June 1930 ( as Ahobalappa did not join duty)

The Deputy Commissioner in his Memo No. L Dis Sl 411-31 dated 4th November 1931 directed that Mr. P. Shama Rao (referred to above) who was reverted from his acting appointment as Taluk Sheristedar at Sira, should join duty as acting Head Clerk on Rs 50/- of the Special 1st Class Magistrate's Court at Tumkur vice Mr. V.C. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar on other duty and stated that separate orders would issue regarding the posting of Mr. D. Rudrappa. Mr. Shama Rao joined duty on 28th Novr' 1931 displacing Mr. D. Rudrappa.

Mr. Shama Rao as stated above, holds a permanent place of Revenue Inspector on Rs 50/- When he reverted from his acting appointment of Taluk Sheristedar at Sira, he should have gone back to his permanent post. There appears to be no valid ground for posting him as Head Clerk in Mr. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar's place which had already been filled up according to rules. If it is contended that Mr. Shama Rao, prior to his going out as Taluk Sheristedar had been appointed to act in Mr. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar's vacancy in December 1929, the obvious reply, as stated above, is that this was only a formal appointment and that he did not actually occupy the post. His name is not in the Acquittance Roll and he has not ~~drawn~~ drawn pay even for a day in that place. Hence he cannot be considered to have a lien on this appointment and his subsequent appointment thereto appears to be contrary to the Mysore Service Regulations.

Twenty days after Mr. Shama Rao's joining duty in this vacancy i.e., on 19th December 1931 Mr. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar himself, who was on other duty, was ordered to revert to his appointment. Then Mr. P. Shama Rao was ordered to revert to his original appointment of Revenue Inspector. But it is learnt, that before joining his appointment, Mr. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar <sup>did</sup> ~~did~~ and Mr. Shama Rao, who had meanwhile applied for two months leave, withdraw his application and prayed for confirmation vice Mr. Shanmugaveloo Mudaliar, ~~disputed~~.

. It will thus be seen that, <sup>if</sup> Mr. D. Rudrappa had not been irregularly deprived of this ~~post~~ appointment, he would have been confirmed therein in the usual course. This serious irregularity requires to be set right.

*This is being dealt with separately*  
*Ce*

No further changes were noticed in the Acquittance Roll.

It is submitted in this connection that the Acquittance Rolls of the Registration, Excise, Municipalities and Police Branches under the control of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, could not be scrutinised for want of time. Besides, certain other offices viz., District Education office, Taluk office, Executive Engineer's office, District Superintendent of Police's office, Sub Division office, Gubbi (Head-quarters Tumkur) have also to be inspected. This will be done on <sup>some</sup> other occasion.

| (1)<br>REVENUE &c. | (2)<br>Municipalities |           |             |           | (3)<br>Police. |           |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|                    | Ministerial           | Executive | Ministerial | Executive | Ministerial    | Executive |
|                    | Permanent             | Permanent | Permanent   | Permanent | Permanent      | Permanent |
|                    | Temporary             | Temporary | Temporary   | Temporary | Temporary      | Temporary |

Notes of inspection of the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Rangoon.

Inspected on: - 20th May 1920, 1921 and 1922 (up to 31st December 1921).

The Deputy Commissioner's Office is a unit office and under the rules, the following registers should have been maintained separately therein:-

(1) A register for vacancies occurring in the Revenue, Judicial, Excise, Income-tax and Registration Branches, as well as those of the Sub-Division and Taluk offices.

(2) A register for vacancies occurring in the Municipalities and the District Board (if any) under the control of the Deputy Commissioner.

(3) A register for vacancies of Sergeants and Duffedars in the Police Department.

These registers have to be maintained in the following order:-

According to the above classification, it will be seen that at least five registers should have been maintained for the correct registration of vacancies. It was found however that there was only one and even this was defective in the following respects:-

(a) Vacancies of all the above mentioned separate sub-units have been registered in one book in a continuous

series.

(b) Some of the executive vacancies have been registered under the head "Ministerial"

(c) Permanent and temporary vacancies have been mixed up.

(d) The classification of vacancies into "Direct recruitment" and "Promotion" and the former into "Special" and "General" has not been correctly made.

By way of illustration, the wrong classification of the vacancies of the year 1929-30 are shown below:-

| V.No. Wrong Classification |         |           |         | V.No. Wrong Classification |         |           |         |
|----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Correct Classification     |         |           |         | Correct Classification     |         |           |         |
| 1. Direct Recruitment      | Special | Direct    | Special | 13. Direct                 | Special | Direct    | Special |
| 2. Promotion               | Special | Do        | Do      | 14. Do                     | Do      | Do        | Do      |
| 3. Direct                  | Do      | Promotion | Do      | 15. Promotion              | Do      | Promotion | Do      |
| 4. Promotion               | General | Direct    | General | 16. Direct                 | Do      | Direct    | General |
| 5. Direct                  | Special | Promotion | Special | 17. Do                     | General | Do        | Special |
| 6. Do                      | Do      | Do        | Do      | 18. Promotion              | Special | Promotion | Do      |
| 7. Promotion               | Do      | Direct    | Do      | 19. Do                     | Do      | Direct    | Do      |
| 8. Do                      | Do      | Do        | General | 20. Do                     | Do      | Do        | General |
| 9. Direct                  | Do      | Promotion | Special |                            |         |           |         |
| 10. Promotion              | Do      | Direct    | Do      |                            |         |           |         |
| 11. Direct                 | Do      | Do        | Do      |                            |         |           |         |
| 12. Do                     | General | Promotion | General |                            |         |           |         |

(e) With regard to vacancies occurring in other and subordinate offices, only the date of report of vacancies has been entered. The date on which the vacancy actually occurred should also have been entered in the proper column.

(f) Columns 11 to 15 of the Register are not correctly filled up. Reference to memos of appointments made is not also entered.

(g) When vacancies were re-advertised owing to the original advertisement proving infructuous, they have been again registered.

Both permanent and temporary vacancies have been registered in the Vacancy Register in a continuous series.

| Wrong Classification |                           | Correct Classification |  | Wrong Classification |                       | Correct Classification    |  |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 1                    | Dr. Rt. spl               | Dr. Rt. spl            |  | 11                   | Dr. Rt. spl           | Dr. Rt. spl               |  |
| 2                    | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     | Dr. Rt. spl            |  | 12                   | Dr. Rt. General       | Prom <sup>n</sup> General |  |
| 3                    | Dr. Rt. spl               | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl  |  | 13                   | Dr. Rt. spl           | Dr. Rt. spl               |  |
| 4                    | Prom <sup>n</sup> General | Dr. Rt. General        |  | 14                   | Dr. Rt. spl           | Dr. Rt. spl               |  |
| 5                    | Dr. Rt. spl               | Dr. Rt. spl            |  | 15                   | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     |  |
| 6                    | Dr. Rt. spl               | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl  |  | 16                   | Dr. Rt. spl           | Dr. Rt. General           |  |
| 7                    | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     | Dr. Rt. spl            |  | 17                   | Dr. Rt. General       | Dr. Rt. spl               |  |
| 8                    | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     | Dr. Rt. General        |  | 18                   | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     |  |
| 9                    | Dr. Rt. spl               | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl  |  | 19                   | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl | Dr. Rt. spl               |  |
| 10                   | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl     | Dr. Rt. spl            |  | 20                   | Prom <sup>n</sup> spl | Dr. Rt. General           |  |

Wrong classifica-  
-tion

Correct classifica-  
tion

Wrong classifi-  
cation

Correct classi-  
fication.

1. Direct Recruitment

Spl.

Direct Rectt

Spl.

11. Direct Rec

Both permanent and temporary vacancies have been  
registered in the Vacancy Register in a  
continuous series.

Wrong Classification-

Correct classifi-

Wrong classifi-

Correct classi-

Both permanent and temporary vacancies have been registered in the Vacancy Register in a continuous series.-

| Wrong Classifica-<br>tion. |       | Correct classifica<br>tion. |       | Wrong classifi-<br>cation. |       | Correct classifi<br>-cation. |       |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| 1. Direct Rectt            | Spl.  | Direct Rectt                | Spl.  | 11. Direct Rectt           | Spl   | Direct                       | Spl.  |
| 2. Promotion               | Spl.  | Do                          | Do    | 12. Do                     | Genl. | Promotion                    | Genl. |
| 3. Direct Rectt            | Spl   | Promotion                   | Do    | 13. Do                     | Spl.  | Direct                       | Spl.  |
| 4. Promotion               | Genl. | Direct Rectt                | Genl. | 14. Do                     | Do    | Do                           | Do    |
| 5. Direct Rectt            | Spl.  | Do                          | Spl.  | 15. Promotion              | Spl.  | Promotiojn                   | Do    |
| 6. Do                      | Spl.  | Promotion                   | Spl.  | 16. Direct Rectt           | Do    | Direct                       | Genl  |
| 7. Promotion               | Spl.  | Direct, Rectt               | Spl.  | 17. Do                     | Genl. | Do                           | Spl.  |
| 8. Do                      | Spl.  | Do                          | Genl. | 18. Promotion              | Spl.  | Promotion                    | Do    |
| 9. Direct Rectt            | Spl.  | Promotion--                 | Spl.  | 19. Do                     | Spl.  | Direct                       | Spl.  |
| 10. Promotion              | Spl.  | Direct Rectt                | Spl.  | 20. Do                     | Spl   | Do                           | Genl  |

~~67~~

(h) The names of candidates that were subsequently appointed, those that were first appointed having not joined the appointment, have not been entered.

The following specific irregularities in the disposal of vacancies were observed:-

year 1929-30.

✓ (1) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta in the Tiptur Taluk

This has been registered and advertised as Vacancy No. 293 in the --- Gazette dated 25th July 1929. From the "A" statement Register, however, it was found that this ~~same~~ vacancy had been once before registered and advertised as Vacancy No. 1451 in the --- Gazette dated 7th February 1929. The candidate appointed on the first occasion having not joined duty, the vacancy was once again registered for re-advertisement. This is irregular. It should have been readvertised without re-registration.

✓ (2) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta Tumkur Taluk.

The duration of this vacancy having been shown as only two months, from 20-7-1929 up to 29-9-1929, it should not have been entered in the register.

From the "A" statement Register it appeared that this vacancy occurred by the grant of combined leave to one H. Subba Sastry up to 29-9-1929. When the original file relating to the grant of leave, was however scrutinised, the following facts came to light:-

The vacancy actually occurred on 29-9-1928 i.e., full one year before its registration. H. Subba Sastry applied for <sup>three</sup> days casual leave on 29-9-1928, for 12 days privilege leave on 3-10-1928 and for extension of the privilege leave by 16 days on 11-10-1928. Thereafter he applied for combined leave for six months. The Sub Division Officer sanctioned the leave and the acting arrangements on 28-2-1929 and intimated the Amildar that the vacancy <sup>would</sup> be reported to the Deputy Commissioner. The report was made on 4/12th March 1929 and the Deputy Commissioner called for the connected papers. The papers were submitted by the Sub Division Officer on 28-5-1929 together with an application of H. Subba Sastry for the grant of leave for another six months. The leave asked for was sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer was informed that a candidate of ~~the~~ Backward <sup>classes</sup> community should be appointed in the last vacancy and that ~~the~~ ~~2nd grade Jumasta's~~ vacancy would be reported to the Central Recruitment Board. In the meanwhile, one K. G. Ramaswamaiya, a Brahmin official was acting in the post. After this protracted correspondence, the vacancy was reported to <sup>the C. R. B.</sup> ~~this~~ office as being available only for 2 months till 29-9-1929. The Deputy Commissioner was therefore requested in ~~this~~ office letter No. 702/CRB dated 1st August 1929 to intimate why this short vacancy should not be filled up by himself. He then noticed that the acting arrangements made were irregular. In the result, therefore, this longstanding special vacancy was appropriated by a Brahmin and he, too, a Dafterbund (inferior service).

(3) Vacancy of Village Panchayet clerk,

Chikkanayakanhalli.

(5)

✓(3) Vacancy of Village Panchayet Clerk,  
Chikkanayakanhalli.

From the "A" statement Register it was found that this vacancy occurred on 20-2-1929 by the grant of combined leave of 10 months to S. Ramachandra Rao. The Subbi Sub Division Officer reported the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner on 9-8-1929 as temporary lasting till ~~21st August 1929~~ 19th January 30. Though the Deputy Commissioner's office was cognisant of the fact that the vacancy occurred on 20th Feb'1929, no entry to that effect was made in the Vacancy Register. Neither was the Sub Division Officer asked why there was such a delay in reporting the vacancy nor a report made to <sup>the C. P. O.</sup> this office in the matter. The result was that the candidate selected by advertisement had the post only for 3 months and it is not ~~known~~ known who was acting in it till then. (The "B" statement of appointment made has not been registered in the "B" statement Register.)

✓(4) Vacancy of First Grade Gumasta, Tiptur  
Taluk.-

It was found from the "A" ~~Register~~ statement Register that the vacancy actually occurred on 20th January 1929 by the grant of two years' leave to one Bhima Rao. The Sub-Division Officer reported the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner only on 28-9th August 1929 i.e., after a delay of 7 months. and no explanation seems to have been obtained for the delay. The date of the occurrence of the vacancy has not also been entered in the Vacancy Register. Though it was known in the Deputy Commissioner's office

that the vacancy lasted for two years; it was reported to the Central Recruitment Board office that it was only temporary, giving the ~~situation~~ impression of short duration.

(5) Vacancy of a 1st Grade Gumasta,

Pavagada Taluk. - Rs 30/-

This was entered in the Vacancy Register as having been reported on 10th October 1929. The actual date of its occurrence has not been entered. From the "A" statement Register it was found that this was a readvertisement of the original vacancy (of 1st Grade Gumasta in the Korategere Taluk)

No. 1742 advertised in the Gazette dated 11th

April 1929. This vacancy occurred by the retire-

ment of the Head Gumasta. *This being the readvertisement of the original vacancy (since shifted to Pavagada) should not have been re-registered.*

(6) A post of temporary clerk on Rs 35/-

for Record of Rights work in the Gubbi Taluk office,

was sanctioned. But a communication to advertise

a vacancy on Rs 25/- (Deputy Munshi) was sent to the

District office on 23-11-1929. It is not known

from what date the vacancy became actually available.

(7) Vacancy of Clerk in the District office

Rs 55. It ~~is~~ <sup>was</sup> a longstanding vacancy.

This place has been filled up by the promotion of a Village Panchayet Inspector (M.D. Krishnan), the latter's place by the transfer of a Revenue Inspector and a post of the III Grade Revenue Inspector has been made available for advertisement. The following irregularities have been committed:-

(a) Ministerial and executive vacancies have been mixed up.

(b) As against a vacancy in class I of appointments, one in class III has been made available for direct recruitment (promotions/arrangements have been made in the different classes of appointments)

(c) This vacancy and the previous vacancy

~~(2)~~

have been continuously treated as promotion vacancies.

(d) In the vacancy register the name of S. Abdul Hamid has been entered under date 10-2-1930 (the same entry has been made in the "A" statement Register). By a reference however to the office Memo No. L. Dis Sl 2368-29 dated 12th March 1930, it was found that one H. Siddappa was appointed in the vacancy (as Abdul Hamid did not join duty) This has not been entered in the Vacancy Register

(e) The vacancy of Revenue Inspector made available for direct recruitment has been registered both under the heads "Ministerial" and "Executive"

*vide page 11*

(8) Vacancy of Head Clerk, Special  
Magistrate's Court, Tumkur. Rs 50/-  
Longstanding.

Occurred on 13th November 1929  
Advertised on 6th February 1930  
as Vacancy No. 1557.  
Appointment made on 13th March 30  
Second appointment made on 4th  
June 1930  
One Ahobalappa was first appointed  
on 13-3-1930, but as he did not join duty  
D. Rudrappa was appointed on 24-6-1930 as  
office  
per Deputy Commissioner's/Memo No. L Dis  
Sl 3200-29/ dated 4th June 1930.

In connection with the termination of the services of this official, D. Rudrappa, a separate reference is pending disposal in the Central Recruitment Board office and the case has been fully noted on in the respective files

(9) Vacancy of Excise III Clerk in the  
District Office.

(9) Vacancy of Excise III Clerk in the District office.

This is due to the grant of extension of leave for 3 months from 14-1-1930 up to 13-4-1930. In the vacancy Register, however, it is shown as one of less than three months duration. It was advertised on 20th February 1930 as Vacancy No. 1707 and one B.P. Lakshmi Pataniya appointed on 6-3-1930. This appointment was made when the vacancy was to last only for a month more. (File leading to the grant of leave could not be obtained for scrutiny.)

(10) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gamasta in Tiptur Taluk  
Pay Rs 30/-

It was found from the "A" statement Register that this vacancy became available from January 1930 by the grant of extension of leave for 4 months to one Narasinga Rao. This may also be a case similar to (2) and (9) supra (The file leading to the grant of leave could not be obtained). The facts of the case are as follows:-

The vacancy

- (a) occurred on 4th January 1930
- (b) Report received in the Dy. Commr's office on 17th March 1930
- (c) Advertised as Vacancy No. 1904 on 3rd April 1930
- (d) Appointment made on 13th May 1930.

The duration of the vacancy was reported to be up to 4th May 1930. It is not known whether the candidate appointed (which was reported on 13th May 1930) did actually join duty.

(11) Vacancy of Second Grade Gamasta, Tumkur Taluk  
Rs 25/- Longstanding.

From the "A" statement Register, it was found that the vacancy occurred on 19th February 1929. The same date has been entered in the Vacancy Register also. It is noted in the latter that the original

"A" statement was forwarded to the Secretary, C.R.Bd., on 12-4-1930 i.e., after a delay of nearly one year and

Vide C.R.B. No. 2000/1  
The original A.S. statement was not received till 12-4-1930. It was with regard to the vacancy in the Vacancy Register.

- (2) -

two months. The District office did not take any action for the delay.

(12) Vacancy of II Grade Gumasta Tiptur Taluk Rs 25/- Six months.

It is noted in the Vacancy register that the vacancy will be available from the date on which the official goes on leave.

The vacancy was reported on 3rd April 1930 and advertised as Vacancy No. 2036 on 1st May 1930. The applications received by the Deputy Commissioner were forwarded to the Sub Division Officer on 29th May 1930. No entry as to who was appointed has been made either in the Vacancy Register or in the "A" & "B" statements Registers. The District office should have insisted upon getting such reports from the subordinate offices and making the necessary entries in their registers.

year 1930-31

✓ (13) Vacancy of III Clerk in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court, Tiptur.

The entries in the Vacancy Register show that the vacancy occurred on 1st July 1930 and was available till 1st March 1931. This was advertised as Vacancy No. 237 in the Gazette dated 24th July 1930 and the report of the appointment of G. Chikka-kempaiya was sent on 29th August 1930. The "A" statement Register, however, showed that this same vacancy had been advertised as Vacancy No. 1905 in the Gazette dated 3rd April 1930 and one Chennamallaiya appointed

He prayed for being relieved from his duties from 1st July 1930. He was relieved from 1st July 1930 and action was taken to advertise the vacancy once again. The matter could have been disposed of by selecting one of the remaining C.R.B. candidates that had responded to the advertisement. If none was available it should have been readvertised without bringing it on the Vacancy Register again.

✓ (14) Vacancy of Patrol Sub Inspector, Tiptur Range.

Rs 25/- Duration one year from 1st July 1930.

This vacancy has been classified as a General vacancy. The three previous vacancies shown as special are (1) ~~2182~~ 2182 dated 8th May 1930, (2) 2630 dated 26th June 1930, (3) 237 dated 24th July 1930. But there were other special vacancies which were advertised in the meanwhile viz., No. 2616 dated 26th June 1930 and 416 dated 28th August 1930. In all, 5 vacancies were treated as special vacancies prior to the classification of the one under note as General. This is <sup>not</sup> in ~~conformity~~ conformity with the rules. This is an ~~executive~~ executive appointment but has been entered in the Register for Ministerial appointments.

*to the present  
for the same*

3 This is only a readvertised vacancy, which should not have been registered in the Vacancy Register Vide Sl. No. 13.

✓ (15) Vacancy of 1st Grade Gumasta, Madhugiri Taluk  
Permanent Rs 30/-

This vacancy occurred on 1st August 1930 and was advertised as Vacancy No. 572 on 25-9-1930 and applications received from the Recruitment Board were forwarded by the Deputy Commissioner to the Sub Division Officer on 31st October 1930. It has been entered in the Vacancy Register that no appointment was made in view of the Govt. Order No. R 2422-32 L.R. 105-28-131 dated 27th Octr' ~~1930~~ 1930 sanctioning the revision of the scales of pay of the Revenue Department and directing that no vacancy should be permanently filled up. No other entries have

~~(11)~~

been made . It is not known what acting arrangements were made for conduct of work. Further enquiries have to be made.

✓  
(16) Vacancy of Excise Clerk, Taluk office Koratagere. Rs 25/-

This is a permanent vacancy and it was reported to the District office on 31st August 1930. The actual date of its occurrence has not been intimated. It is not known when it occurred. In all such cases the date of occurrence should invariably be quoted in the "A" statement furnishing the particulars of the vacancy.

This vacancy is classified as a General one. The three special vacancies taken into account for treating this as General, are (1) No. 573 dated 25th September 1930 ( 4 months), (2) No. 572 dated 25th Sept'r 1930 Permanent, which ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> not filled up as per Reorganisation order, (3) 574 dated 25th Sept'r 1930,. The registration of permanent and temporary vacancies in a continuous series and taking into account the temporary vacancies for treating a permanent vacancy as General are not in conformity with the rules. vacancy No. 572 i.e., (2) which has not been filled up ought to have been struck off. On the other hand it has been ~~and~~ taken into account for treating the vacancy under note ( which ~~was~~ would otherwise have been special) as General.

✓  
(17) Vacancy of Accountant, District Treasury Tumkur. Rs 40/-

It has been noted in the "A" statement Register that the vacancy occurred on 23rd

Sept'r'1930 by the grant of extension of leave to Mr. Anantasastry. The acting arrangements made were approved by Govt. subject to the condition that the vacancy should be advertised if the leave were extended. The leave was extended by one month and the vacancy was notified in the Gazette<sup>23</sup> No. 1352 dated 2nd Sept'r'1930.

This vacancy has not been entered in the Vacancy Register and no mention is made in either the vacancy or the "A" & "B" registers with regard to the disposal of applications, if any, received.

It was found from the Acquittance Roll that Anantasastry was on combined leave from 22nd March 1930 up to 17th November 1930. One C. Gangadhara Rao (a locally available candidate) was entertained on Rs 25/- from 17th January 1930 in connection with the acting arrangements made in the District Treasury in the vacancy of Mr. Anantasastry, who was on other duty in the District office till 22nd March 1930 when he was reverted to his original appointment in the District Treasury. From that day he went on leave and returned to duty only on 17th Nov'r 1930. Up to this period C. Gangadhara Rao (whose appointment was not made through the Central Recruitment Board) was ~~also ordered~~ <sup>allowed</sup> to continue.

The "A" statement Register shows that one Melagiri Rao, clerk on Rs 35/- was on other duty in the Mandya Sub Division office from 1st May 1930 and <sup>the vacancy</sup> was advertised as longstanding Vacancy No. 2630 on 26th June 1930 and the appointment of C. Gangadhara Rao on Rs 25/- against Rs 35/- was reported to the Central Recruitment Board office on 25th Aug'r 1930.

*vide Page 8 also.*

The Acquittance Roll however shows that Melagiri Rao went to the Mandya Sub Division office on 1st April 1930. Prior to this, he was on other duty in the Gubbi Sub Division office ~~from 1st~~

~~(11)~~

from 1st February 1930. On this date one A. Madhava Rao ( a Brahmin graduate) was appointed by the Deputy Commissioner and he was allowed to continue against this vacancy for a long time. This is an irregular appointment and is being still continued. A separate<sup>note</sup>/on this case has been put up in another connection.

From the above, it will be seen that though the formality of advertisement of the vacancy of a clerk against the post of Melagiri Rao who went on other duty, was observed, and a report of its having been filled up by the appointment of Gangadhara Rao forwarded, that latter was<sup>not</sup> actually-----

(a) In the advertised vacancy A. Madhava Rao, who was working from 1st Feb' 1930 was allowed to continue.

(b) Gangadhara Rao, who was working in the District Treasury from 17-1-30 was continued there up to 17-11-1930.

posted in this vacancy ( vide margin) The report therefore appear to be false.

(18) Head Clerk, Special II Class Magistrate's Court - Rs 40/-.

From the "A" statement Register, that it appears that this vacancy occurred on 4th August 1930, that it was reported for advertisement on 13-10-1930 advertised as vacancy No. 657 in the Gazette dated 23rd October 1930 and that one Brahmarayappa was appointed on 24-11-1930. It was however observed that it was a readvertisement of Vacancy No. 1560 which was advertised in the Gazette dated 6th February 1930 and in regard to which the appointment of one T.M. Mahadevaiya was reported on 4-9-1930. But as the candidate did not join duty, the vacancy was readvertised as vacancy No. 657 on 23-10-1930. According to rules, one of the remaining applicants for vacancy

No. 1560, who was willing to accept the appointment should have been appointed when T.M.Mahadevaiya did not join duty. If none of them wanted the post, the vacancy should have been readvertised after three months, but it has been reported for readvertisement on the very day the candidate was appointed, on the ground that he did not join duty. This is irregular.

While readvertising the vacancy, it has been again entered in the Vacancy Register. This is also irregular.

From the Vacancy and "A" statement Registers it was found that the original vacancy (advertised as Vacancy No. 1560 on Rs 40/-) ~~permanent~~ was a permanent one of a clerk on Rs 45/- due to the retirement of one Bhima Rao from 1st January 1930. It was filled up by the promotion of one K. Subrahmanya Iyer Excise Head Clerk on Rs 40/- in the Madhugiri Sub Division office and the consequential vacancy advertised as vacancy No. 1560 on 6th February 1930. Meanwhile permission was obtained to transfer to this latter post to one Sundararaju, an acting clerk on Rs 40/- in the District Police office, and accordingly it has been entered in the Vacancy Register that T.M.Mahadevaiya, the Central Recruitment Board candidate was appointed as acting Deputy clerk in the District Police office. Just below this entry, it has been noted that the same candidate was appointed as acting Head Clerk in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court at Tumkur.

B and J.  
R. R. Sep No.  
1898/29.30

When questioned about this double entry, it was explained that the Head Clerk in the Special II Class Magistrate's Court was transferred as acting Deputy Clerk in the District Police office and the candidate (T.M.Mahadevaiya) who was appointed in the latter vacancy was posted subsequently to the vacancy on the Special Magistrate's Court. It, therefore, appears probable that Mahadevaiya finding that he was not to get the permanent post advertised, but a temporary one which

might cease at any moment, declined to take it up.

It will thus be seen that in the course of these ~~insignificant~~ manipulations of appointments, the vacancy which occurred on 1st January 1930 could not be filled up by the appointment of a Central Recruitment Board candidate till 24th November 1930.

(19) vacancy of clerk in the District office on Rs 25/- Longstanding.

(1)

The following particulars were found in the Vacancy Register.

- (a) Occurred on 25th April 1931
- (b) Report received in District office on 7th May 1931
- (c) Advertised as Special Vacancy No. 1826 on 25th June 1931
- (d) Reported on 11th Sept'r 1931

to the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board to the effect that the vacancy ceased on account of the reversion of the permanent incumbent and <sup>that</sup> there was no need to consider the applications for the same.

In this connection, the following particulars were noticed in the "A" statement Register:-

(i) On 22nd Feb'1931, permanent vacancy of Head Gumasta in the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk office occurred by the retirement of one K.Venkataramaiya.

(ii) In this place one S.Krishna Murthi, Acting Head clerk of the Tumkur Town Municipality, who was reverted to the Revenue Department, was posted.

(iii) One T.Narasinga Rao, a permanent clerk of the District office on Rs 25/- and acting on Rs 30/- from 1st August 1930.

in the vacancy on Rs 35/- of H.C.Melagiri Rao who was transferred to the Mandya Sub Division Office, Mysore District, was lent to the Tumkur Town Municipal Council in place of S.Krishnamurthi (vide ii)

Against T.Narasinga Rao's acting vacancy i.e., the longstanding vacancy of Melagiri Rao, one B.Hutchappa a throwout acting Revenue Inspector was appointed on 25th April 1931 on Rs 25/- pending result of advertisement. This was the vacancy that was advertised as Vacancy No. 1826 referred to above. (Reg 28)

T.Narasinga Rao was reverted from the Municipality on 1st Sept'r 1931 and joined duty in the District office to his permanent place. This permanent place which was vacated by him on 1st August 1930 when he was promoted to act in the place of Melagiri Rao, was filled up by the appointment of one A.S.Narasimhamurthi working in the Gubbi Sub Division office. This official joined duty in the District office on 1st July 1931. (During the period of his absence from 1st August 1930 up to 21st May 1931 A.Madhava Rao was continued on Rs 30/- to work. This appointment is contrary to rules. From 21st May 1931 to 1st July 1931 the place was kept vacant). When T.Narasinga Rao joined his permanent appointment on 1st September 1931, A.S.Narasimha murthi, who had naturally to revert to his original appointment in the Gubbi Sub Division office was posted on 16th Oct'r 1931 to act in the vacancy of Melagiri Rao (which was advertised) and the vacancy was reported as having ceased due to the reversion of the permanent incumbent. This arrangement is contrary to the Mysore Service Regulations. A.S.Narasimhamurthi will have to be reverted to the Gubbi Sub Division office and the vacancy of Melagiri Rao should be filled up by advertisement.

(2) mvdvr

(20) It was noticed in the Acquittance Roll that one S.L.Narasimhaiya clerk in the grade Rs 25-1-30-140

x in page 8

of the District office was transferred on 21st May 1931 to the Special Magistrate's Court at Madhugiri. This is a longstanding vacancy and should have been entered in the Vacancy Register and dealt with in accordance with the rules. But it was found that A. Madhava Rao ( who was working against the vacancy of Melagiri Rao from 1st February 1930 up to 1st August 30 and against the permanent place of T.Narasinga Rao from 1st August 1930 upto 21st May 1931) was appointed to act against this vacancy and continued. The lien of S.L. Narasimhaiya together <sup>with</sup> Madhava Rao, was ---- shifted to the Accounts Branch of the --- District Treasury on 1st November 1931 vice T.K.Krishnamurthi transferred to the District office. This vacancy continues --- still and Madhava Rao works in this vacancy contrary to rules. This vacancy should be advertised and filled up and the irregular appointment of Madhava Rao should be terminated.

(21) In the Acquittance Roll for September 1931, it was observed that B.Venkatappa, clerk of the District office who was acting in grade Rs 40-2-50 in place of Mr. T.Venkata Char on other duty was transferred on ---- 17th October 1931 <sup>to</sup> Sira Sub Taluk office as Head Clerk. Against this vacancy of ---- Rs 40-2-50, one Hutchappa, ~~acting~~ a throwout acting Revenue Inspector ( who was working from 25th April 1931 up to 16th Octr' 1931 in the vacancy of Melagiri Rao) was allowed to work and continued. This vacancy being a longstanding one should have been registered in the Vacancy Register and dealt with

under the rules. The name of Hutchappa, a throwout official, should have been reported to the Central Recruitment Board office in April 1931 for being proposed for absorption in a suitable vacancy.

The permanent place of B. Venkatappa which becomes available during the period of his ~~transfer~~ transfer to Sira, for being filled up, should also be dealt with in accordance with rules.

(22) Vacancy of Village Panchayet clerk in the Gubbi Taluk on Rs 30/-

This is a leave vacancy for nine months from 1st January 1931 up to 1st October 1931. The following particulars were found in the "A" statement and vacancy Registers.

- (i) Occurred on 1st Jan'y 1931
- (ii) Report received in the District office 31st Mar' 1931
- (iii) "A" statement sent to C.R.B. office on 14th May 1931
- (iv) Vacancy advertised as Vacancy No. 1824 on 25th June 1931
- (v) Applications received in the District office on 24th July 1931
- (vi) Appointment of S. Revanna reported to C.R.Bd on 3rd Sept'r 1931

It will thus ~~xxx~~ be seen that owing to the delay caused at each stage, the vacancy was regularly filled up only in the early part of September 1931 i.e., just a month prior to its termination.

(23) Vacancy of Excise-Range Office Clerk, Kunigal Rs 25/-.

It was seen from the "A" statement Register that this vacancy was a permanent one caused by the retirement of N. Desikaiya from 1st January 1931. It was registered in the Vacancy Register as a General vacancy the three previous special vacancies being

- (a) No. 700 lasting for 6 months
- (b) " 1826 longstanding but reported to have ceased
- (c) " 1824 lasting for months.

The one subsequent to vacancy No. 700 is also a special vacancy No. 952, this is left-out.

(of six months duration)

It will thus be seen that there were four special vacancies continuously prior to this vacancy. Strictly speaking, vacancy No. 1824 which is classified as the 4th special vacancy should have treated as General when the present vacancy would have become <sup>a</sup>special one.

In order to treat a permanent vacancy as General, three temporary vacancies have been taken into ~~account~~ consideration. This is also irregular.

✓ (24) Vacancy of Taluk Sheristedar, Chikkanayakanhalli.

It was noticed in the "A" statement Register that this was a <sup>an</sup>permanent vacancy which occurred on 6th May 1931 by the retirement of the incumbent. The following arrangements were made to fill it up.-

*No (3)  
native*

M. Hanumantha Rao (Permanent Revenue Inspector on Rs 55/-) who was acting as Revenue Head Accountant on Rs 60/- in grade Rs 50-2-60 was appointed as Taluk Sheristedar. Seriatim arrangements were made to fill up the vacancies in grade Rs 40-2-50 and Rs 50-2-60 and a place on Rs 40/- (a longstanding one) was made available for direct recruitment. This has been advertised as vacancy No. 40 in the Gazette dated 3rd Sept'r 1931 but has not yet been filled up for want of administrative sanction.

Under the rules, a vacancy on Rs 50/- at least should have been made available for direct recruitment. Further, in the place of a permanent vacancy that has occurred, a vacancy of temporary nature (longstanding) has been made available for direct recruitment. This is not in order.

✓  
25. ✓ vacancy of Vottu Mutsaddi, Chikkanayakan-  
halli Taluk on Rs 25/- Longstanding.

1931-32 up to  
the end of December 1931

To make ~~up~~ this available for advertisement  
the following arrangements were found from the "A"  
statement Register to have been made:-

One S. Subbanna, III Grade Assistant Inspector,  
acting as II Grade Inspector retired from service  
on 24th July 1931.

(1) K. Chinnappa, Asstt. Inspector, III Grade was  
promoted to Acting II Grade Assistant Inspector's place.

(2) T. Abdul Aziz was confirmed in the permanent  
Assistant  
place of III Grade/Inspector (Vice S. Subbanna)

(3) In the vacancy of Chinnappa serial (1), H. Nanjappa  
Vottumutsaddi on Rs 25/- in the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk  
office was appointed as acting Assistant Inspector.

(4) The latter place i.e., Vottu Mutsaddi's vacancy,  
was made available for direct recruitment. This has  
been advertised vacancy No. 461 in the Mysore Gazette  
dated 17th September 1931.

It will be seen from the above that in connection  
with the disposal of a permanent executive appointment  
a temporary vacancy in the ministerial grade has been  
thrown open for direct recruitment. Such interchanges  
from the executive to the ministerial grades of appoint-  
ments are not authorised under the rules.

As the Vacancy Register now maintained in the  
District office, was not in conformity with the rules,  
the marginally noted ~~xx~~ fresh registers were got  
opened from 1st January 1932, clear personal instruc-  
tions having been given for their correct maintenance.  
The Deputy Commissioner (Mr. N. Sundararaja Iyengar)  
has promised to see that no irregularities occur  
in future. If approved a copy of the irregularities  
observed will be sent to the Officers concerned

- (1) Register for  
ministerial appoint-  
ments in the Revenue  
Judicial, Income-tax  
Excise and Registra-  
tion Branches (for  
permanent & temporary  
vacancies separately)
- (2) Register for Execu-  
tive appointments in  
the above Branches  
(for permanent & tempo-  
rary vacancies separ-  
ately)
- (3) Register for ---  
ministerial appoint-  
ments in the Municipal  
ties under the control  
of the Dy. Commr (for  
permanent & temporary  
vacancies separately)
- (4) Register for Execu-  
tive appointments  
in the Municipalities  
referred to above (for  
permanent & temporary  
vacancies separately)
- (5) Register of Execu-  
tive appointments  
in the Police Depart-  
ment (for permanent  
and temporary vacancies  
respectively).

Ys.  
A.

( Messrs G.B.Heblikar, ~~H.V.Ramasthan~~<sup>swami</sup> and  
N.Sundararaja Iyengar) for remarks.

sdms  
12/2/32

Channan  
Sankar C.S.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 4589-91/CAS  
End inspection notes

# Dear Sir, . . .

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the

193

note of Inspection Notes by the Secretary.

Date of issue ..... 11.3.32 .....

Central Recruitment Board of the

M. C. S.

Joint Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for the periods 1-7-29 to 31-12;

Signed by .....

Jumkhar Dist. and to request

you to kindly furnish your remarks  
within <sup>two weeks</sup> ~~one month~~ on the  
irregularities pointed out in the period  
note pertaining to the periodduring which you were the  
Joint Officer in the Jumkhar Dist.

List of enclosures .....

Yours. Sincerely.  
C. 4/3

J. G. Secy

Copied by .....

To.

- 1) G. B. Heblikar Esq. B.A. LL.B.
- 2) H. V. Ramaswamy Esq. B.A. B.L.
- 3) N. Sundararajalingam Esq. B.A.

Examined by .....

No.S.1.C.1767/31-32

*Recd 2*

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
Tumkur District,  
Dated, Tumkur, the 12<sup>th</sup> April 1932

*54954*  
*Co. No.*

From

The Deputy Commissioner,  
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Secretary,  
Central Recruitment Board,  
Bangalore.

*RECD*

*urgent*  
*12/4*

*H M Sir,*

*12/4*

*12.4*  
*2.5*

I have the honor to state that I am in receipt of your D.O.No.4589-91 C.R.B., dated 11-3-32, calling for my remarks on the irregularities pointed out in the Inspection Notes for the period I have been in charge of the District.

2. I took charge of the District on 8<sup>th</sup> August 1931. Of the irregularities pointed out <sup>those</sup> that pertain to <sup>my</sup> ~~that~~ period are only two in number viz., (1) the appointment of Mr.P.Shama Rao as acting Head Clerk of the Special First Class Magistrate's Court, Tumkur and (2) filling up the vacancy of Vottumutsaddy, Chiknai-kannally Taluk on Rs.25/-.

3. As regards item No.1, I beg to invite attention to communication No.L.Dis.S.1.529-31 dated 7-1-32 in which a report was made to the General Secretary to Government in the matter (copy enclosed for ready reference)

Mr.P. Shama Rao who had held the post of a Revenue Inspector on Rs.45/- substantively was appointed as acting Head Clerk of the Special First ~~Class~~ Court, Tumkur, on 14-8-26, i.e., before late Mr. Shanmugavelu Mudaliyar had acted in the appointment at all. His Service Register shows that since 14-8-26, he did not revert to the Executive Line. The posts mentioned below were held by him from 14-8-26 to 12-11-31, the date of relief at Sira, to take up his appointment as Head Clerk as per orders of this office.

|                          |                                                                      |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| From 14-8-26 to 11-12-26 | Acting Head Clerk, Special I Court, Tumkur on Rs.50/-.               |
| " 12-12-26 14- 4-27      | Acting Head Clerk, Dist. Superintendent of Police Office on Rs.55/-. |
| " 15-4-27 31- 5-27       | Acting clerk on Rs.50/-, District Office.                            |
| " 1-6-27 19- 3-28        | Acting Head Clerk, Special I Court.                                  |
| " 21-3-28 25-6-28        | Acting Sub Registrar, Kunigal, on Rs.55/-.                           |
| " 5-7-28 25- 5-29        | Acting Head Clerk, Special I Court, Tumkur                           |
| " 26-5-29 30- 6-30       | Acting III Grade Taluk Sheristedar on Rs.55/-.                       |
| " 1-7-30 11-11-31        | Acting Taluk Sheristedar on Rs.60/-.                                 |

Thus it will be seen as stated above that from 14-8-1926, he has always held ministerial appointments only and he is fully qualified for the post of Head Clerk, Special First Class Court, Tumkur. Further the vacancy<sup>which</sup> resulted from the transfer of late Mr. Shamaugavelu Medaliyar as Taluk Sheristedar to Shimoga District had been advertised as a long standing one only and not as a permanent vacancy.

In the circumstances stated above, I am of opinion that the order objected to is in conformity with rules. Mr. P. Shama Rao has since prayed for being made permanent in the post.

As regards the second objection, it has to be stated that the vacancy was advertised as a long standing one and not as temporary as mentioned in the Notes. Subsequently owing to the confirmation of H. Nanjappa as III Grade Excise Assistant Inspector, C.S. Sankaran, whose application had been received in response to the vacancy was appointed permanently to that post. It will thus be seen that the vacancy which was advertised as a long standing one became permanent later on. As regards the point raised about the interchange of Executive and Ministerial appointments, it may be stated that H. Nanjappa, Vettumutsaddy, Chiknaikanhally was appointed as Excise Assistant Inspector as per Excise Commissioner's Docket No.B.1.1367-30 dated 4-8-1930 (copy enclosed for reference). Besides, he had acted as Excise Assistant Inspector on previous occasions and was therefore preferred for the post.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*M. Lyenga*  
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,  
Tumkur District.  
12/4.

Vide page 33 of A.  
the Inspection  
No. 85 -

Letter No.L.Dis.S.1.529-31 dated 7-1-32 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, to the General Secretary to Government, Bangalore.

----

With reference to your letter No.2955 C.R.B., of the 30th November 1931, calling for a report on the representation of Mr.D. Rudrappa, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Mr. P. Shama Rao who was a permanent Revenue Inspector and was acting Head Clerk, First Magistrate's Court, Tumkur, had been promoted temporarily to the Taluk Sheristedar's place, Sira. The vacancy of the Head Clerk's place was therefore advertised as a long standing one and Mr. Rudrappa one of the applicants was appointed to act therein with effect from 12-6-1930. As Mr. P. Shama Rao had to revert to his place as First Clerk of the Special Magistrate's Court, consequent on the posting of Mr.V.S.Jayachar, as Taluk Sheristedar, Sira, by the Revenue Commissioner, Mr. Rudrappa whose appointment was contingent upon Mr. Shama Rao continuing as Taluk Sheristedar, lost his place. The permanent incumbent of the place the late Mr. V. Shanmugavelu Mudaliyar had also been ordered to be reverted to his permanent appointment as Head Clerk of the Special First Court by the Revenue Commissioner in his Memo No.D.1.Dis.715/31-32 dated 13-11-31. Thus it will be seen that Mr. Rudrappa could not have remained in his place in any case. He was therefore provided with the post of a clerk on Rs.30/-.

3. Subsequently the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, has intimated in his Memo No.L.Dis.2271-31/102 dated 26-12-1931, that one L.Narasimamurti, Village Panchayet Clerk on Rs.40/- of this office has been appointed as Sanitary Inspector on Rs.40/- of the Kadur Municipality and requested that he might be relieved and directed to report himself for duty to the President, Kadur Municipality. Mr. Rudrappa, Record of Rights Clerk on Rs.30/- Turuvekere Sub Taluk has been appointed as Village Panchayet Clerk on Rs.40/- of this office in this vacancy.

4. A copy of this communication has also been sent to the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board, requesting him to obtain

obtain approval of Government to the arrangements made.

C c p y'

*M. S. Sanyal*

Deputy Commissioner,  
Tumkur District.

*M. S.*

Docket No. 11-1387/32 dated the 4th August 1932 from the Dyce Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

=====

With reference to his letter No. D.C. 207 dated 23rd July 1931 in re: the appeal petition of Vottu Subbanna H. Hanjanna, has the honour to state that as Subbanna is only acting in the 2nd Grade his lien cannot be transferred to the Shimoga District. The order of transfer of Assistant Inspector Varadacharya Rao to the Shimoga District may be given effect to at once and the fact of having done so intimated to this office.

2. H. Hanjanna may be appointed as an acting Assistant Inspector in the vacancy of Subbanna if he is fit, but if the recruitment rules do not permit, he should be given Rs 30/- as already ordered in this office letter No. D.Dis. 1077-22 dated 2nd March 1932.

Copy  
M. S. Jayar  
Deputy Commr  
Framkunt  
2-11-43

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec. C. R. B.

File No:

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

the ( ) 193

~~Proceedings of the Government of~~  
~~the District of Mysore.~~

N-06035/LR3

G.

Date of issue..... 27.5.32

~~Read~~ The Deputy Commissioner  
Mysore District.

Signed by..... *M. S. S.*

Sir, --

May this be

- (i) Printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,  
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,  
Kannada Part ?

With reference to corres-  
pondence ending with your letter

No S.L.C. 1767/31-32 dated 12/13 April

1932 furnishing your remarks

on the irregularities pointed

out in the <sup>view of</sup> ~~order of~~ inspection ~~order~~ of

your ~~office~~ <sup>Office</sup> by the Secy, C.R.B.  
for the period you

have been in charge of the <sup>same</sup>

~~order~~, I am directed to  
observe that -  
state that ~~order~~ desire to

point out Mr. D. Rudrapappa who  
was appointed in the longstand-  
ing advertised special vacancy

(No. 15 of 29.30) of Head clerk

*S. S. S.*  
26/5

in the Special Grade Magistrate's

at Court, Tumkur, Vice Mr. V. Shan-

manga, Veloo Moola O.O.D. should

not have been disturbed to

make from his appointment.

to make room for Mr. Shama Row

who had no lien on the

post and / <sup>that</sup> ~~there~~ <sup>to require</sup> 9/6/30

to the go <sup>as</sup> ~~direct~~ that Mr. D. Rudrappa

be reinstated in his original

appointment as Ag. Head

Clerk of the Court referred

to above, which has now perma-

nently become vacant, due

to the demise of Mr. V. Shanmuga

veloo moola. I am to add

that the question of <sup>his</sup> Confirmation

of Mr. D. Rudrappa under

rule 23(ii) of the C.R.B. Manual

in his appointment does not

arise so long as the Economy

Order No. 38. 3742-91. G. F. 54-31-32

dated 2nd December 1931 continues

to be in force.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

para 2(a) of +129 X.

Muz 6]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Vide para 16 of the notes  
in the file

Subject to approval.  
Dons

R<sup>3</sup>  
20/5/32

As regards the dis-  
posal of the vacancy of Voktu-  
mutsaddi in the Chikrayaka  
nahalli Taluk (para 25 of the  
Inspection notes) I am ~~directed~~  
to state that the ~~action~~ action  
taken by you is not unobjectionable  
~~which is irregular~~,  
but is ~~however~~ approved under  
the circumstances reported.  
~~and to request~~ ~~that such~~  
irregularities be avoided in  
future.

I have etc  
19/5  
Chief Secretary to Govt  
Dons  
11/5/32.

11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 27th May 1932.

No. 6035/CRB.

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. S.L.C. 1767/31-32, dated 12/13th April 1932, furnishing your remarks on the irregularities pointed out in the notes of inspection of your office by the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board I am directed to observe that Mr. D. Rudrappa who was appointed in the longstanding advertised special vacancy (No. 1557 of 29-30) of Head Clerk in the Special First Grade Magistrate's Court at Tumkur, vice Mr. V. Shanmuga Veloo Mudaliar, O.O.D. should not have been disturbed from his appointment to make room for Mr. Shama Rao who had no lien on the post and to request you <sup>therefore</sup> to be so good as to reinstate Mr. D. Rudrappa in his original appointment as Acting Head Clerk of the Court referred to above, which has now permanently become vacant. I am to add that the question of his confirmation under rule 23(ii) of the Central Recruitment Board Manual does not arise so long as the Economy Order No. Fl. 3742-91/G.F. 54-31-22, dated ~~22nd~~ 2nd December 1931 continues to be in force.

As regards the disposal of the vacancy of Vottu Mutsaddi in the ~~Chikmagalur~~ Chiknayakanahalli Taluk (para 35 of the Inspection Notes), I am to state that the action taken by you is not - - unobjectionable, but is approved under the circumstances reported.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*Channarayana*

For Chief Secretary to Government.

2-3 of  
6.12  
(52)

No.S.1.1767/31

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
Tumkur District,  
Dated, Tumkur. the 15th July 1932

CENTRAL RECRUITMENT BOARD  
18 1932  
19/7/32  
17/16/32  
To

The Deputy Commissioner,  
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

The Secretary,  
Central Recruitment Board, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.6077 of the 30th May 32, calling for my remarks on observations made in paras 19, 20 & 24 of your inspection notes in regard to the Unit Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, I have the honor to invite kind reference to my letter No.S.1.167-30 of the 5th instant wherein item No.20 has been dealt with.

2. In regard to para 19 of the notes, I would state that the vacancy of H.C.Mailgiri Rao has been filled up in accordance with the recruitment rules. The vacancy has been notified as Special Vacancy No.2630 Mysore Gazette Dated 26-6-30 and one C.Gangadhara Rao, Mahratta by caste, has been appointed against this vacancy.

3. With regard to item No.24 observing that in the vacancy caused by the retirement of the Taluk Sheristadar, a place on Rs.40/- has been made available for direct recruitment whereas under the rules, a vacancy on Rs.50/- should have been made available for direct recruitment, I have the honor to state that a place on Rs.40/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50 was made available for direct recruitment. While sending up the 'A' statement the circumstances under which the said place on Rs.40/- in the grade of Rs.40-2-50 was thrown open for direct recruitment had been fully explained and such a place was accordingly

notified

1498.  
limited file  
(6)

P.28.

P.32


g

notified in the Gazette by the Secretary, Central Recruiting Board. It was therefore presumed that the arrangements suggested was accepted by the Central Recruiting Board.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

  
For Deputy Commissioner,  
Turkur District

2737  
L. Rao

"MANI VILAS,"

Fort Centre Road,

Basavangudi, Bangalore (City),

Dated 14th Novr., 1932.

Dear Sir,

Subject:-- Notes of Inspection by the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board, of the Unit Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, for the period from 1-7-1929 to 31-12-1931.

\*\*\*\*\*

With reference to the Secretariat D. O. letter No. 4589 C. R. B., dated 11th March 1932, on the above subject, I enclose herein a statement furnishing my remarks on the several points noticed, as far as possible on the records available. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, had been requested to send all the records connected with the several appointments, but I received some of them piecemeal <sup>though</sup> ~~and~~ I had requested him to send the records remaining <sup>all together</sup> ~~over~~ ~~to him~~ <sup>now</sup>. As I have not received the same, and am on leave, I am submitting the remarks on the several items, the records relating to which were sent to me. It will be seen that the points remaining unanswered are few and unimportant.

Yours Sincerely,

G. B. Heblikar

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

(ON LEAVE.)

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,  
Chief Secretary to the Government of  
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,  
B a n g a l o r e .

Q3  
14 11/3  
14 14/4  
11/11/11

500/22CE

Proceedings of the Govt of H.H.  
the Maharaja of Mysore.

-----

Read.-

Correspondence ending with letter No. Sl-1767 dated the 15th July 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, forwarding his remarks on the several points raised in the Notes of Inspection of his office by the Secretary Central Recruitment Board.

2. Letter dated the 14th November 1932 from Mr. Heblikar, Deputy Commissioner on leave, furnishing his remarks on the points in the Notes:-

Order No. 7226-8 / CRB 13-32-6.

Dated Bangalore 12<sup>th</sup> June 1933

Recorded with the following ---- observations:-

(1) Paras I & II of the Notes:- Temporary vacancies, when they subsequently became permanent, were not brought on the Vacancy Registers for being dealt with afresh, but were disposed of by confirming the acting arrangements already made in them. In all such cases, vacancies should be registered afresh in the ---- Register of Permanent vacancies unless candidates of Backward Communities are already working in them, in which case they may be confirmed: (Vide Rule 23 (ii) of the Recruitment Manual).

(2) Paras I, II & III of the Notes:- Class to class promotions have been allowed while filling up vacancies.

7/6/57

Under the recruitment rules, vacancies in each of the four classes of appointments (referred to in para 9 of the Manual of Recruitment Rules) should be taken separately, and every third of such vacancies in each class should be treated as a promotion vacancy. The rules that have been prescribed should be duly followed.

(3) Para II, VI and (14) of the Notes:-

Ministerial and Executive appointments have been mixed up and inter-transfer promotions arrangements have been allowed. This is not in conformity with the rules which require that the appointments in the ministerial and executive services should be classed separately and not clubbed together.

(4) Paras V.VII and (2) of the Notes:-

Vacancies caused on account of officials taking leave and extending it piecemeal have been filled up without reference to the recruitment rules.

All such vacancies, as soon as they exceed three months in duration should be brought on the Vacancy Register and dealt with in accordance with the Rules.

(5) Paras X and (18) of the Notes:- A

candidate reported to have been appointed in an advertised vacancy was posted for work in a different vacancy which was not made available for advertisement.

An advertised vacancy was filled up by promotion and transfer and the consequential vacancy released was offered to the candidate that had applied for the advertised vacancy.

These are not in order. When once a vacancy is advertised, it must be made available

for the candidate selected from among the applicants for it.

(6) Paras (3) and (4) of the Notes:- There has been much delay in the subordinate offices in ~~making~~ reporting the vacancies to the Unit Officer. It is necessary that all delay should be avoided in the several stages of the disposal of the vacancies.

(7) Para (10) of the Inspection Notes:- <sup>in which no candidate applied</sup> ~~Among Central Recruitment Board~~ candidates has been appointed in a regular vacancy without reference to the Recruitment Rules and has been continued in several --- other vacancies. This is irregular. All vacancies of more than three months in duration should be brought on the Vacancy Registers and dealt with in accordance with rules, the acting arrangements, if any, made for conduct of work, being terminated as soon as the C.R.B. candidates are appointed.

(8) Para (23) of the Notes:- A Permanent vacancy has been registered in the --- Vacancy Register for temporary appointments. Under the rules, permanent and temporary vacancies should be classed and registered separately.

(9) Page 11 of the Notes in regard to the disposal of the advertised Special vacancy (No. 1557 of 29-30) of Head Clerk in the First Grade Magistrate's Court at Tumkur: It was irregular to have disturbed the person (Mr. D. Rudrappa) appointed through the C.R.B. in the vacancy, in order to make room for Mr. Shama Rao who had no lien on the post. Separate orders of Government have issued in

letter No. 6035 C.R.B. dated 27th May 1932),  
 reinstating Mr. Rudrappa in his original ap-  
 pointment as acting Head clerk of the Court,  
 (now permanently fallen vacant). Candidates appointed  
 in long-standing and permanent vacancies after  
 advertisement should not be disturbed from their  
 appointments and made to lose their lien on  
 such appointments ~~for no fault of theirs~~ *the recruitment and*  
~~(12) Government trust that the~~ *have been repeated*  
 Central Recruitment Board rules will be strictly *violated in the*  
 adhered to, in future. *Office and staff*  
*this will be advised*  
*in future*  
*the*

*21*  
*1/6*

General Secretary to Government.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District  
*Rev. Mr. R. M. R.*  
 " Secretary, Central Recruitment Board.

10/5

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS  
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

---

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. S.1. 1767, dated the 15th July 1932, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, forwarding his remarks on the several points raised in the Notes of Inspection of his office by the Secretary, Central Recruitment Board.

2. Letter dated the 14th November 1932, from Mr. Heblikar, Deputy Commissioner on leave, furnishing his remarks on the points in the Notes.

-----  
Order No. 2226-8 / C.R.B. 13-32-6.

Bangalore, dated the 17th June 1933.

Recorded with the following observations:-

(1) Paras I and II of the Notes:- Temporary vacancies, when they subsequently became permanent, were not brought on the ~~Vacancy~~ Vacancy Registers for being dealt with afresh, but were disposed of by confirming the acting arrangements already made in them. In all such cases, vacancies should be registered afresh in the Register of Permanent vacancies unless candidates of Backward communities are already working in them, in which case they may be confirmed. (Vide Rule 23 (ii) of the Recruitment Manual).

(2) Paras I, II and III of the Notes:- Class to class promotions have been allowed while filling up vacancies. Under the recruitment rules, vacancies in each of the four classes of appointments (referred to in para 9 of the Manual of Recruitment Rules) should be taken separately and every third of such vacancies in each class should be treated as a promotion vacancy. The rules that have been prescribed should be duly followed.

(3) Para II, VI and (14) of the Notes:- Ministerial and Executive appointments have been mixed up and inter-transfer promotion arrangements have been allowed. This is not in conformity

with the rules which require that the appointments in the - - ministerial and executive services should be classed separately and not clubbed together.

(4) Paras V, VII and (2) of the Notes:- Vacancies caused on account of officials taking leave and extending it piecemeal have been filled up without reference to the recruitment rules.

All such vacancies, as soon as they exceed three - - months in duration, should be brought on the Vacancy Register and dealt with in accordance with the Rules.

(5) Paras X and (18) of the Notes:- A candidate reported to have been appointed in an advertised vacancy was posted for work in a different vacancy which was not made available for advertisement.

An advertised vacancy was filled up by promotion and transfer and the consequential vacancy released was offered to the candidate that had applied for the advertised vacancy.

These are not in order. When once a vacancy is advertised, it must be made available for the candidate selected from among the applicants for it.

(6) Paras (3) and (4) of the Notes:- There has been much delay in the subordinate offices in reporting the vacancies to the Unit Officer. It is necessary that all delay should be avoided in the several stages of the disposal of the vacancies.

(7) Para (10) of the Inspection Notes:- An individual who had not applied through the Central Recruitment Board has been appointed in a regular vacancy without reference to the Recruitment Rules and has been continued in several other vacancies. This is irregular. All vacancies of more than three months in duration should be brought on the Vacancy Registers and dealt with in accordance with rules, the acting arrangements, if any.

made for conduct of work, being terminated as soon as the Central Recruitment Board candidates are appointed.

(8) Para (23) of the Notes:- A Permanent vacancy has been registered in the Vacancy Register for temporary appointments. Under the rules, permanent and temporary vacancies should be classed and registered separately.

(9) Page 11 of the Notes in regard to the disposal of the advertised Special Vacancy (No. 1557 of 29-30) of Head Clerk in the First Grade Magistrate's Court at Tumkur:-

It was irregular to have disturbed the person (Mr. D. Rudrappa) appointed through the Central Recruitment Board in the - - vacancy, in order to make room for Mr. Shama Rao who had no lien on the post. Separate orders of Government have issued (in letter No. 6035/C.R.B. dated 27th May 1932), reinstating Mr. Rudrappa in his original appointment as acting Head - - Clerk of the Court (now permanently fallen vacant.) Candidates appointed in long-standing and permanent vacancies after advertisement should not be disturbed from their - - appointments and made to lose their lien on such appointments.

Government observe that the recruitment rules --- have been repeatedly violated in this office and trust this will be avoided in future.

  
J. V. General Secretary to Government.  
J.V.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Secretary, Central Recruitment Board.